Furcelleran



BIONETICS

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY SCREENING STUDIES HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY CYTOGENETICS DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY CONTRACT FDA 71-268 COMPOUND FDA 71-52 FURCELLERAN

7315 Wisconsin Avenue Bethesda, Maryland 20014

LBI PROJECT #2446

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-52
FURCELLERAN

SUBMITTED TO

FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

SUBMITTED BY

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 5516 NICHOLSON LANE KENSINGTON, MARYLAND

OCTOBER 31, 1974



October 31, 1974

Mr. Leonard Appleby, Contracting Officer Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration, CA-212 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5C-13 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Reference: Contract FDA 71-268; LBI Project #2446

Dear Mr. Appleby:

Litton Bionetics, Inc., is pleased to submit a report for the referenced contract entitled "Mutagenicity Screening Studies" for compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran.

Included in this report are the results and raw data of the three tests conducted: Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. Eight (8) copies are being submitted for your review.

Upon completion of the toxicology work an evaluation was made of our results to those appearing in the literature. In cases where our values were lower, the toxicology was repeated. In some instances either the Host-Mediated Assay, Dominant Lethal Assay, and/or Cytogenetic Studies were also repeated at one or more levels to fulfill the requirements of the contract. In some cases, the acute and/or subacute assays were involved.

If there are any questions concerning this report, or, if additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely yours,

LITTON BIONETICS, INC.

Robert J. Weir

Principal Investigator

RJW:11s Enclosures (8)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•			•	Page	No.
I.	REPORT	••••		1	
	A. B. C.	0bject	duction	1 2 3 3	
	D. E.	Method	Dosages Is Y Host-Mediated Assay Cytogenetics a. In vivo b. In vitro	4	
	F.	3. Result 1.	Dominant Lethal	5 5 5 5 5	
		2.	c. Toxicity data sheets	11 13 15	
		 4. 	Toxicity Data - Test II	46 46 47	
	٠		a. Host-mediated assay summary sheets.b. Host-mediated assay data sheets	51 54	
		5.	Cytogenetics - Test I	71 71 72	
		6.	Cytogenetics - Test II	77	
		7.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test I	80 80	
,	·	8.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test II Dominant lethal assay summary tables	98	
II.	MATERI	ALS AN	D METHODS	116	
	Δ	Anima	1 Husbandry	116	



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

•		Pag	e No
	MATERI	(ALS AND METHODS (continued)	
ı	A.	Animal Husbandry (continued) 1. Animals (Rats and Mice)	O
-	В.	Dosage Determination	6 8
	C.	Mutagenicity Testing Protocols 1. Host-Mediated Assay	18 19 21 21 22 22 24
	D	Supplementary Materials and Methods	27 27 27 27 28 29 29 30 31
		c. Total number of <u>corpora lutea</u> I d. Preimplantation losses I e. Dead implants I f. One or more dead implants I g. Two or more dead implants I h. Dead implants per total implants	31 32 32 32 32
	E.	References	135 135 135 136
	F.	Abbreviations	137



I. REPORT

A. Introduction

Litton Bionetics, Inc. (LBI) has investigated the possible mutagenicity of compounds selected and provided by the Food and Drug Administration under Contract 71-268. LBI's investigation utilized the three mammalian test systems herein described -- Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. These tests provide information as to the types of genetic damage caused by environmental compounds -- pesticides, chemicals, food additives, drugs and cosmetics.

The Host-Mediated Assay is based upon the assumption that the action of a mutagen on the genetics of bacteria is similar to that in man.

This is further strengthened by the use of an eukaryotic organism (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Since the mutation frequencies are well established for the indicator organism, any deviation due to the action of the test compound is readily detectable. As some compounds are mutagenic in bacteria and not in the host animal, and vice versa, this test is able to differentiate an action which may have been due to hosts' ability to detoxify or potentiate a suspected mutagen. This action is dependent upon the ability of the compound to gain access to the peritoneal cavity. Coupled with the direct action of the compound on the indicator organism in vitro, the assay provides a clear insight into host-mediation of mutagenicity.

Cytogenetics provides a valuable tool for the direct observation of chromosomal damage in somatic cells. Alteration of the chromosome number and/or form in somatic cells may be an index of mutation. These studies utilized examination of bone marrow cells arrested in C-metaphase from rats exposed to the test compound as compared to positive and negative control animals. If mutational



changes occur, the types of damage expected due to the action of chemicals are structural rearrangements, breaks and other forms of damage to the chromosomal complement of the cells exposed.

For the <u>in vitro</u> cytogenetic studies, we have a more rapid and inexpensive means of determining chromosomal damage. This is accomplished by observing cells in anaphase. As the chromatids separate and move along the spindle, aberrations may occur. Chromatids which do not migrate to the daughter cells may lead to uneven distribution of parts or of entire chromatids (mitotic nondysjunction). These give rise to "side arm" bridges which have been interpreted as point stickiness or localized failures of chromosome duplication point errors. These aberrations (bridges, pseudochiasmata, multipolar cells, acentric fragments, etc.) are extremely sensitive indicators of genetic damage.

The Dominant Lethal Test is an accurate and sensitive measure of the amount and type of fetal wastage which may occur following administration of a potential mutagen. Dominant lethal mutations are indicators of lethal genetic lesions. The effects of mutagens on the chromosomal complement of the spermatozoa of treated males results in alterations of form and number of chromosomes. Structural rearrangements and aneuploidy may lead to the production of non-viable zygotes, early and late fetal deaths, abortions and congenital malformations. In addition, aberrations could lead to sterility or reduced reproductive capacity of the F_1 generation. The action of a mutagen on specific portions of spermatogenesis is also apparent in this test.

B. Objective

The purpose of these studies is to determine any mutagenic effect of the test compound by employing the Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies



and the Dominant Lethal Assay, both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests are employed with the cytogenetic and microbial test systems. These tests and their descriptions are referenced in the Appendices A through F.

C. Compound

Test Material

Compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran, Edible, 44C, as supplied by the Food and Drug Administration.

2. Dosages

The animals employed, the determination of the dosage levels and the route of administration are contained in the technical discussion.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-52 are as follows for the Cytogenetic Studies \underline{in} \underline{vivo} in rats.

	Test I+	Test II+
Low Level Intermediate Level LD5 Negative Control Positive Control (TEM*)	7.15 mg/kg 71.5 mg/kg 715.0 mg/kg Saline 0.3 mg/kg	 5000.0 mg/kg Food Pellet 0.3 mg/kg

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-52 are as follows for the Host-Mediated Assay $\underline{\text{in vivo}}$ in mice.

	<u>Test I</u> +	Test II+
Low Level Intermediate Level LD5 Negative Control Positive Control (EMS**)	7.15 mg/kg 71.5 mg/kg 715.0 mg/kg Saline	5000.0 mg/kg Saline
(DMN***)	350 mg/kg 100 mg/kg	350 mg/kg 100 mg/kg

^{*} Triethylene Melamine



^{**} Ethyl Methane Sulfonate

^{***} Dimethyl Nitrosamine

⁺ These two tests were performed at different time intervals.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-52 are as follows for the Dominant Lethal Assay in vivo in rats.

	Test I+	Test II ⁺
Low Level	7.15 mg/kg	
Intermediate Level	71.5 mg/kg	
LD5	715.0 mg/kg	5000.0 mg/kg
Negative Control	Saline	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The <u>in vitro</u> Cytogenetic Studies were performed employing three logarithmic dose levels.

Low Level	2.0 mcg/ml
Medium Level	20.0 mcg/ml
High Level	200.0 mcg/ml
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control	0.1 mcg/ml

The discussion of this test is contained in the technical discussion.

D. <u>Methods</u>

The protocols employed are explained in Appendices C and D.

E. Summary

Host-Mediated Assay

This compound produced no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies at the dose levels tests against <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 and G-46 and <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3, respectively, using <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests procedures.

2. Cytogenetics

a. <u>In vivo</u>

The compound produced no detectable significant aberration of the bone marrow metaphase chromosomes of rats when administered orally at the dosage levels employed in this study.

⁺These two tests were performed at different time intervals.



^{*}Triethylene Melamine

b. In vitro

The compound produced no significant aberration in the anaphase chromosomes of human tissue culture cells when tested at the dosage levels employed in this study.

Dominant Lethal

This compound was considered to be non-mutagenic in this assay system when used at the dosage levels employed in this study in rats.

F. Results and Discussion

Toxicity Data - Test I

a. In vivo

Compound FDA 71-52 was suspended in 0.85% saline and administered to ten male rats by intubation. The average weight of the animals was 250 grams and each received a dose of 5000 mg/kg. All animals were found dead within 24 hours.

Dose levels of 100, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000 and 4000 mg/kg were selected to determine an acute LD_{50} . The toxicity data is presented on the LD_{50} reporting form using the Litchfield-Wilcoxson method.

The LD $_{50}$ was determined as 2100 mg/kg. The LD $_{5}$ dose level was derived from the probit line. The dose levels used were LD $_{5}$ - 715 mg/kg, intermediate - 71.5 mg/kg and low - 7.15 mg/kg. The data on the dose levels, numbers of animals and necropsy findings are presented in the toxicity data sheets.

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound was suspended in 0.85% saline at the concentrations listed above. It was introduced into tubes containing WI-38 cells in a logarithmic phase of growth. The cells were observed for cytopathic effect (CPE) and the presence of mitosis at 24 and 48 hours.



Tube No.	No. of Cells	Conc. mcg/ml	<u>CPE</u>	<u>Mitosis</u>
1	5 X 10 ⁵	1000	+ .	-
2	ti ·	1000	+	-
3	11	500	+	-
4	ıı	500	+	-
5		100	-	+
6	tt	100		+ "
7		50	-	, +
8	41	50	-	+ .
9	61	10	-	+
10	ŧi	10		+

Since an inhibition of mitosis was observed, a closer range of concentrations was employed as follows.

1	5 X 10 ⁵	500	+	-
2	tt .	500	+	. =
3	tt	400	+	-
4	CI CI	400	+	·-
5	n	300	+	+
6	и	300	+	+
7		200	-	+
8	u	200	-	+
9	11	100		+
10	u	100`	-	+

The 200 mcg/ml concentration was used as the high level, 20 mcg/ml as the intermediate level and 2.0 mcg/ml as the low level.



c. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST I

TOXICITY DATA

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form:

Suspension

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 250 grams. All animals were observed for 10 days.

Range Finding:

	Dose mg/kg	<pre># Dead # Animals</pre>	Day of Death and Necropsy
	5000	10/10	Day 1: Distension and impaction of the stomach.
LD ₅₀ :			
	100	0/5	None
	500	0/5	None
	1000	1/5	Day 5: Distension and impaction of the stomach.
	2000	2/5	Day 2 (1) and Day 3 (1):
			Distension and impaction of the stomach.
	3000	4/5	Day 1: Swollen stomach.
	4000	4/5	Day 1 (3) and Day 2 (1):
			Swollen stomach.



LD50 REPORTING FORM USING LITCHFIELD-WILCOMON METHOD

DOSE EFFECT CURVE FOR _____ FDA Compound 71-52 Furcelleran

DOSE	PROPORTION	ODSDRVED PERCENT	EMPECCEED	OBS-IMPU (PERCENU	contrib.
500	0/5	0	1	<u> </u>	
1000	1/5	20	14	•	
2000	2/5	40	48		
3000	4/5	80	71		
4000	4/5	80	84	,	
· ·					

Total	animals	=	25
Number	Doses,	к	= 5

$$(CHI)^2$$
 for n of k-2 = 7.81

Total =

$$(CHI)^2 = .608$$

$$LD_{50} = \frac{2100}{}$$

$$fLD_{50} = S = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.907}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.907}{\sqrt{15}} = \frac{1.59}{\sqrt{15}}$$

$$LD_{50} \times feD_{50} = (2100)(1.59) = 3339$$

$$LD_{50} = (2100)/(1.59) = 1320$$

LD₅₀ and 19/20 Confidence Limits = $P\left\{1320 \le LD_{50} \le 3339\right\} = .95$ Attached should be a plot of the dose-effect curve on log-probit paper.

q

2. Host-Mediated Assay - Test I

Compound FDA 71-52 produced no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies at the dose levels tested against <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 and G-46 and <u>Saccharomyces</u> D3, respectively using <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> test procedures.



Compound:	FDA 71-52	Furcelleran
oompound.	IDA (IT)~	TULCETTERON

	·		n Vivo	
Indicator Strain	<u>In Vitro</u>	Possible Low Recoveries	Controls	Other Commersts
TA-1530 12/18/72 Acutes 1/5/73 S-acutes	pos.	NC PC AL AI AH	NC OK PC OK SANC OK	1. All doses negative
		SANC SAL SAI SAH	SAPC OK	
			•	
G-46		NC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
1/3/73 Acutes 1/19/73 S-acutes	pos.	PC AL	bc ok	1. AII 40303 Nogacivo
•	neg.	AI AH SANC	SANC OK	
		SAL SAI SAH		
	¢ .			
D3		NC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
1/8/73 Acutes 4/20/73 S-acutes	pos.	PC AL AI	PC OK	
	···cg.	AH SANC SAL	SANC OK SAPC High	
		SAI SAH		

Summary: Compound 52 exhibited no genetic activity in any of the tests.

All of these results should be accepatble

Davil Brusik

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST I



HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COULCAND FOW ITAM	OMPOUND: FDA 71-5	٥ د	4
-------------------	-------------------	-----	---

· ·	SACCHAROMYCES D-3
	MFC MRF MRT/MRC (X 10E-5)
ACUTE NC PC AL AI LD5	3.95 22 30.39 7.69 64 6.73 2.21 47 8.17 2.07 85 8.72 2.21
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SLD5 PC	3.34 60 7.90 2.37 23 6.36 1.90 07 7.57 2.27
IN VITRO	D-3 URVIVAL R X 10E5 68.1 8 100.0 4 50.2 347
IN VITRO	D-3 URVIVAL 68.1 100.0

STOP SRU'S:.7 b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST I

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1538

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 18. 1972

	A	8	C	Ð
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	HUTATION FEE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.0ML	10E8/1.0HL	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
	30.60	5.10	2.00	.39
2	42.60	7.10	3.00	.42
3	32.90	5.48	1.00	.18
4	35.80	5.97	2.00	. 34
5	42.B0	7.13	2.00	.28
6	47.70	7.95	5.00	.63
7	31.10	5.1	2.00	.39

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2
SAMPLES WITH ZERO MUTANTS EQUAL

	COL. 6	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 1008)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
HE AN	6.27	2.43	.38
HANGE	2.85	4.00	.45
MAA	7.95	5.00	.63
HIN	5.10	1.00	•1.4

* SUMMARY WITH OUT: IERS REMOVED

	COL. H	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10EB)	(X 10E0)	(x 10E-8)
HEAN	5.99	2.0 0	.33
RANGE	2.03	2.00	.24
MAX	7.13	3.00	.42
MIN	5.10	1.00	.14

STOP

{ j

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

DRGANISM: SALMONELLA TAIS3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DAN - 100 MG/KG (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL . ACUTE DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 18. 19/3

•	A	В	C	()
		• •	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/8)
NUMBER	10E7/0.0ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	2 10F-8
	53.30	8.88	30.00	3.38
2	23.70	3.95	35.00	8.86
3	45.50	7.58	19.00	2.51
o a 🤚 si da ilian	31.00	5.17	41.00	7.94
5	34.30	5.72	27.00	4.72
5 6 7	33.20	5 . 53	28.00	5.06
7	37.30	6.22	22.00	3.54
8	33.50	5.58	40.00	7.16
NO. OF ANIMA	LS EQUALS	8		
NO. OF CONTA	MINATED EQUA	ils z	•	
	en grjang en en en engeleg i ger	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(x 106-8)
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	MEAN	6.08	30.25	5.40
and the second of the second of the second	RANGE	4.93	22.00	6.36
	MAX	8.88	41.00	8.86
	MIN	3.95	19.00	2.51
NO OHTERES	* * *			

17

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

DEGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 7.15 MG/MG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED! DECEMBER 18, 1972

	A	8	C NO.	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/I.OML	FRE (C/B)
MANDER	TOTAL STATE	1050710000	TOLONYSOUL	A 106-6
1	49.10	8.18	2.00	.24
2	30.50	5.0€	3.00	.59
3	81.70	13.62	2.00	.15
4	55.80	9.30	14.00	1.51
5	51.40	8.57	8.00	.9
6	31.40	5.23	1.00	.1 .
7	45.70	7.62	1.00	.13
8	30.70	5.12	4.00	.78

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

ومانسستمر المحاربات	on the COL. He was	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.84	4. 8	.57
RANGE	8.53	13.00	1.37
MAX	13.62	14.00	1.51
MIN	5.08	1.00	.13

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. 6	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10EB)	(X 10EÕ)	(X 10E-8)
HEAN .	7.63	3.00	.43
PANGE	8.53	7.00	.80
MAX	13.62	8.00	.93
HIN	5.08	1.00	.13

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 71.50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED! DECEMBER 18, 19/2

	A	8	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.0ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) x 10E-8
	32.40	5.40	7.00	1.30
2	31.30	5.22	12.00	2.30
3	37.60	6.27	8.00	1.28
4	33.30	5.55	3.00	.54
5	53.00	8.83	5.00	•57
6	42.60	7.10	4.00	.56
. Ž	36,10	6.02	5.00	.83

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 2
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

marina di Salaharan da Salaharan	COL.	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(8-301 x)	
HEAN	6,34	6.29	1. 5	
RANGE	3.62	9.00	1.76	
MAX	8.83	12.00	2.30	
MIN	5.22	3. 00	.54	

* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

	COL. • 15	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
MEAN	6.53	5.33	.65	
RANGE	3.43	5.00	.76	
MAX	8.83	8.00	1.30	
MIN	5.40	3.00	•54	

		•			
COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA153	<u>u</u>
DOSE LEVEL	: L05 - 715.00	MG/KG			
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORAL	, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 18.	1972
		8	C	D	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.EML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-B	
<u></u>	55.10	9.18	1.00	.11	
3	40.20	8.03 7.37	8.00 3.00	1.00	
1 2 3 4 5	59.40 64.80	9.90 10.80	2.00 9.00	.20	- Table Sec.
6 7	42.00 36.50	7.00	10.00 7.00	1.43 1.15	
NO. OF COM	MALS EQUALS ITAMINATED EQUA ITH ZERO MUTANI	7 US &			
mumas in the time of America.	erikan di perdebagai di sebesah d Sebesah di sebesah di s	COL. 8 (X 1088)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	£ ¹
na na sana sa	HEAN HANGE	8.34 4.72	5.71 9.00	.73 1.32	
NA AUTHOR	MAX	10.00 6.08	10.00 1.00	1.4	

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. DRAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED! JANUARY 5, 1973

• .	A	В	C	Đ
ANTMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) A 10E-8
. 1	51.40	8.57	4.00	47
Ž	31.60	5.27	1.00	.19
3	31.20	5.20	3.00	• Ši
4	32.80	5.47	2.00	.37
5	71.10	11.85	2.00	.17
6	33.2 0	Е53	3.00	.54
7	47.20	7.87	2.00	.25
8	34.60	5.77	1.00	.17

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS H TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

		COL.	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10EÖ)	(X 10E-8)
2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MEAN	6.94	2.25	.34
	HANGE	6.65	3.00	•41
	MAX	11.85	4.00	.58
	MIN	5.20	1.00	.17
NO OUTLIERS	e ee r	er er og og er i for	¥7.13	7.7

COMPOUND! FDA 71-52

DEGANISM: SALMONELLA TAISS

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG (SUBACUTE)

TREATHENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUAR: 5. 1973

	A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B)
•	31.70	5.28	42.00	7,95
and the second s	30.40	5.07	41.00	8.09
6	35.80	5.97	35.00	5.87
3	05.00	10.03	38.00	3.19
		9.13	62.00	6.79
5	54.80	5.40	57.00	10.56
6	32.40 44.00	7.33	42.00	5.73
8	41.20	6.87	50.00	7.28

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EDUALS

	COL. IS	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	6.89	45.88	7. 1
RANGE	4.97	27.00	6.77
MAX	10.03	62.00	10.56
MIN	5.07	35.00	3.79

* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

	COL• ≤ (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10EÕ)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.10	44.29	6.50
RANGE	4.97	27.00	4.30
MAX	10.03	62.00	8.09
MIN	5.07	35.00	3.79

COMPOUND! FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153

DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 7.15 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED: MANUARY 5. 1973

	A	8	C Total NO.	D MUTATION
NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B)
1	38.20	6.37	3.00	.47
Ž	30.80	5.13 6.48	5.00 4.00	.97
3	38.90 65.70	10.95	11.00	1.00
5	40.90	6.82	9.00	1.32
6	49.60	8.27	1.00	.12 .42
7	71.50 30.60	11.92 5.10	5.00 7.00	1.37
8	33.20	5.53	12.00	2.17

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS OF TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL. H	COL. C	COL. D
3.1	(X 10E8)	(X 10EÖ)	(X 10E~8)
ME AN	7.49	6.33	. 94
RANGE	6.82	11.00	2.65
MAX	11.92	12.00	2.17
MIN	5.10	1.00	.12

SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.63	5.63	.79
RANGE	6.82	10.00	1.25
MAX	11.92	11.00	1.37
MIN	5.10	1.00	.12

COMPOUND	FDA	71-52
----------	-----	-------

DRGANISM: SALMONELLA TAISS

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 71.50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. DRAL. SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED: MANUARY 5. 1973

• .	A	В	C	Ð
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/8) X 106-8
	50.30	8.38	9.00	1.07
2	87.00	14.50	14.00	\$ 7
3	39.30	6.55	5.00	•97 76
	61.30	10.22	7.00	•76 •69
5	87.10	14.52	4.00	.23
6	43.20	7.20	9.00	1.25
7	48.70	8.12	8.00	.99

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	9.93	8.00	•86
HANGE	7.97	10.00	.97
HAX	14.52	14.00	1.25
MIN	6.55	4.00	.28

SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. ** (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10EÖ)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	9.16	8.67	.95
RANGE	7.95	9.00	•56
MAX	14.50	14.00	1.25
MIN	6.55	5.00	.69

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA153		
DOSE LEVEL	: LD5 - 715.00	MG/KG		
TREATMENT	IN VIVO. DRAI	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 5, 1973
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/8)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	76.00	12.67	4.00	,32
Ž	42.60	7.10	1.00	.14
2 3	71.80	11.97	3.00	,25
o i o 🍎 su o usto u	34.00	5.67	2.00	• 35
5	49.30	8.22	8.00	.97
6	43.20	7.20	3.00	.42
7	50.30	8.38	6.00	.72
	IMALS EQUALS	7		•
NO. OF CO	NTAMINATED EUU	ALS 1		
TOTAL CFU	OUT OF RANGE	EQUALS 2		
	en e	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-e)
	MEAN	B.74	3.85	.45
	RANGE	7.00	7.00	.83
	MAX	12.57	8.00	•97
			* **	7 4

COMPOUND:	FDA	71-52
-----------	-----	-------

DRGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUARY 3. 1973

	A	8	C TOTAL NO.	O HUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10EB/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10EQ/1.0ML	FRE (C/B)
1	86.40	14.40	6.00	.42
2	57.70	9.62	4.00	.42
3	73.50	12.25	7.00	.57
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	68.50	11.42	7.00	.61
5	65.10	10.85	4.00	.37
6	97.20	16.20	8.00	.49
ž	65.70	10.95	3.00	.27
8	30.80	5.13	8.00	1.56

2

NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS

and the second second	COL. II	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-3)	
MEAN	11.35	5.88	.59	
RANGE	11.07	5.00	1.28	
MAX	16.20	8.00	1.56	
MIN	5.13	3.00	.27	

SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-B)	
HEAN	12.24	5.57	.45	
RANGE	6.58	5.00	.34	
MAX	16.20	8.00	.61	
MIN	9.02	3.00	•27	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED! MANUARY 3. 1973

	8	В	C	D
	· • • •		TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	RUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10EB/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	x 10E-8
1	91.20	15.20	384.00	25.26
2	31.60	5.27	214.00	40.63
3	51.20	მ∙53	123.00	14,41
4	65.00	10.83	314.00	28.98
5	62.70	10.45	408.00	39.04
6	62.40	10.40	342.00	32.88
7	73.80	12.30	322.00	25.18

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 2
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL. is	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-a)
MEAN	10.43	301.00	29.63
RANGE	9.93	285.00	26.22
MAX	15.20	408.00	40.63
HIN	5.27	123.00	14.41

* SUMMARY WITH OUTCIERS REMOVED

	COL. 8	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	10.74	330.67	32.16
PANGE	9.93	194.00	15.37
MAX	15.20	408.00	40.63
MIN	5.27	214.00	25.26

ALIBARINE MAL TO ET		ORGANISM: SALM	10NF1 1-A G-46
COMPOUND: FDA 71-52		O'COMITE DITTE	The state of the s
DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 7.15	MG/KG		,
TREATMENT: IN VIVO. DRA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED!	ANUARY 3. 19/3
	8	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FAE C/B)
NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	x 10E-B
1 62.80	10.47	10.00	•9⊹.
2 87.40	14.57	7.00	. 48
	7.37	20.00	2.71
3 44.20 86.50 5 91.50	14.42	5.00	. 35
5 91.50	15.25	5.00	.33
6 69.70	11.62	17.00	1.46
7 63.10	10.52	6.00	•57
8 64.80	10.80	14.00	1.30
9 63.10	10.52	6.00	.57
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS			en e
NO. OF CONTAMINATED ED			
and the second s	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10EB)	(X 10E0)	(x 106-5)
°HE AN	11.72	10.00	•97
RANGE	7.88	15.00	2.39
MAX	15.25	20.00	2.71
MIN	7.37	5.00	•33
	SUMMORY WITH	OUT IERS REMOVE	D _i
	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.27	8.75	,7 5
RANGE	4.78	12.00	1.14
MAX	15.25	17.00	1.46
HIN I'M	10.47	5.00	.33
5 6 3 2 4			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		DEGANISM: SALI	HONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIATE	5 - 71.55 MG/FI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO+ ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	ANUAR) 3, 19/3
	# A 1	B	С	o
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0, ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTARTS X 10E0/1.0ML	HUTAT ON FRE C/B x 10E-8
1	66.0	11.08	18.00	1.62
2	62.60	10.43	5.00	• 48
3	69.80	11.63	6.00	•52
5	57.40	9.57	4.00	•42
	52.30	8.72	4.00	.46
6 7	55.10	9.18	4.00	. 44
8	54.70	9.12	12.00	1.32
g	60.80	10.13	8.00	. 19
10	75. 50	12.58	6.00	.48
Ť.O.	45.80	7.63	17.00	2,23
NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	1	· · ·	1977 1977
en e	the second second second	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	See and the second	(X 10E6)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	·MI AN	10.01	8.40	.87
	RANGE	4.95	14.00	1.41
	MnX	12.58	18.00	2,23
NO OUTLIER	MIN	7.63	4.00	•42
MU UUILIER	``	4		

STOP

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SALM	IONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 715.00	KG/KG		
TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED!	ANUARY 3. 1973
in the second of	В	C	D MUTAT ON
menta se	TOTAL CELLY	TOTAL NO.	FAE (C/B)
ANIMAL RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	10E0/1.0ML	x 10E-8
NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML	INCDAT ONL	TOURSTANK	
1 60.00	10.08	19.00	1.88
1 60.60 2 34.40	5.73	6.00	1.05
3 67.50	11.25	13.00	1.16
51.70	8.62	10.00	1.16
5 45.10	7.52	7.00	•93
6 52.40	8.73	7.00	.80
7 68.10	11.35	7.00	.62
91.40	15.23	17.00	1.12
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUA TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE E	ALS I	en e	
. The second	COL.	COL. C	col. D
	(X 10EB)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	9.81	16.75	1.09
PANGE	9.50	13.00	1.27
MAX	15.23	19.00	1.88
MIN	5.73	6.00	•62
•	SUMMARY WITH	OUT IERS REMOVE	10
	and the second of the second o	001 - 0	COL. D
	COL.	COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10E-B)
	(X 10E8)	9.7	.98
MEAN	9.78 9.50	11.00	.54
RANGE	9.50 15.23	17.00	1.16
MAX	5.73	6.00	.62
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	မွာ•ုံ့သ		

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUARY 19, 1973

	Α	В	C TOTAL NO.	D NOITATUM	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	111.60 90.70 98.30 77.40 31.80 108.00 128.10	18.60 15.12 16.38 12.90 5.30 18.00 21.35 20.32	13.00 5.00 8.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 12.00	.70 .33 .49 .47 1.32 .44 .42	×
NO. OF AN	IIMALS EQUALS ONTAMINATED EQU	8 ALS 2			
		COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	

COL. B (X 10E8) 16.00 16.05 21.35	(X 10E0) 8.50 8.00 13.00	(x 10E-8) .60 .99 1.32 .33
5.30	5.00	+ 33
	(X 10E8) 16.00 16.05	(X 10E8) (X 10E0) 16.00 8.50 16.05 8.00 21.35 13.00

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	17.52	8.71	.49 .37
RANGE	8.45	8.00	.70
MAX	21.35	13.00	
MIN	12.90	5.00	• 33

SRU'S: 7
ISHITCH INS:, CLOSE
IGFF
USAGE ON 04/30/78 AT 14:25:45
SRU'S: 1.9
EKAPSED TIME: 00:04:45

COMPOUND! FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: JANUARY 19, 19/3

	The group was seen to be a second of the			**
	A	3	C	0
	en de la companya de La companya de la co	2 -	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.0ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 101-8
1	34.10	5.68	64.00	11.26
Ž	44.70	7.45	113.00	15.17
3	31.30	5.22	~~ 0.00	15.34
Ž.	59.60	9.93	102.00	10.27
3 4 5	48.40	8.07	77.00	9.55
	51.70	8.62	94.00	10.91
6 7	82.10	13.68	119.00	" 8.7 û
8	46.40	7.73	122.00	15.78
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS	Š.	•	
NO. OF C	ONTAMINATED EQU	AUS I		
	U OUT OF RANGE			

NO. OF	AN.	IMALS	5 F.C	IUALS	6%		
NO. OF	CO	MATE	ENAT	LED EOL	JALS	1	
TOTAL	CFU	OUT	OF	RANGE	EGUAL:	5	•

	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(人 10年十年)
MEAN	8.39	90.38	12.12
HANGE	8.47	58.00	7.08
MAX	13.68	122.00	1 .78
MIN	5.22	64.00	8.70

NO DUTLIERS

COMPOUND !	FDA	71-52	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G	
00,11 001107	IVA	11 - 16	AUGMATOM: DAFMONETER OF	ノーチの

DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 7.15 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 19. 19

•	Ą	8	C	D
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	MUTATION FRE (C/8)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-H
	98.60	16.43	17.00	1.03
2	54.40	9.07	7.00	.77
3	48.00	8.00	11.00	1.17
1 . 🍝	47.10	7.65	5.00	.64
5	99.60	16.60	11.00	.66
6	54.80	9.13	14.00	1,53
7	121.00	20.17	14.00	.69

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

and the second of the second second second second	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.46	11.29	.96
RANGE	12.32	12.00	0
MAX	20.17	17.00	1.53
MIN	7.85	5.00	.64
IN MITHERS	🐷 🗸	* * · · · ·	T += /

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

COMPOUND! F	DA '	71-	-52
-------------	------	-----	-----

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 71.50 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED! MANUARY 19. 1973

	A.	8	C	D
ANIMAL: NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) x 10E-8
1	75.90	12.65	13.00	1.03
2	35.80	5.97	35.00	5.87
3	49.30	8.22	17.00	2.07
<u> </u>	69.50	11.58	25.00	2.16
ξ.	92.20	15.37	10.00	.65
6	37.30	6.22	12.00	1.73
7	47.80	7.97	6.00	.75
8	49.70	8.28	9.00	1.09

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	9.53	15.88	1.94
RAMGE	4.40	29.00	5.22
HAX	15.37	35.00	5.87
MIN	5.97	6.00	•65

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. U
and the second s	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	10.04	13.14	1.38
RANGE	9.15	19.00	1.51
МАХ	15.37	25.00	2.16
MIN	6.22	6.00	.65

STOP

COMPOUND! FDA	71-52		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46
DOSE LEVEL: L	.05 - 715.00	HG/KG		
TREATMENT: IN	VIVO. ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED!	HANUARY 19.
	A.	. ,	C	D
	\$ J	•	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	F.E (C/8)
	DET/O.FML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 106-8
1	39.70	6.62	13.00	1.96
ż	61.90	10.32	4.00	.39
3	43.20	7.20	3.00	• <u>•</u>
3 4	53.60	8.93	4.00	.45
5	31.90	5.32	3.00	•56
5 6	95.60	15.93	2.00	.13
7	40.90	6.82	4.00	•59
NO. OF ANIHAL TOTAL CFU OU	T OF RANGE HEAN RANGE	COL. (X 10E8) 8.73 10.62 15.93	COL. C (X 10E0) 4.71 11.00 13.00	COL. D (X 108-8) .64 1.84
	MIN	5.32	QUT: IERS REMOVE	.13 FB
		SUMMARY WITH	OUT TEND REHOVE	
		COL.	COL. C	COL. D
v com a series de la companya de la		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-a)
	MEAN	9.49	3.33	.42
	RANGE	10.62	2.00	.46
	MAX	15.93	4.00	.59
	MIN	5.32	2.00	.13

(

C

CCCC

STOP

COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SAC	CHARDMYCES D
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALIN	en e	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTEU	ANUARY 8.
	**************************************	B Total Cfu	C	D PECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAN CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	SCRĒENEO X 10e5/1.0ml	RECOMBINANTS VI.ONL	SCHEENED X
····· <u>]</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	974.00	•97	5.00	6.16
2 3 4 5	1917.00 982.00	1.92 .98	4.00 3.00	2.09 3.05
	914.00 987.00	.91 .99	5.00 6.00	5.47 6.08
6 7 8	626.00 403.00 1054.00	•63 •40 1•05	2.00 0. 5.00	3.19 0. 4.74
TOTAL		7.86	31.00	
	IMALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF R	A ANGE EQUALS	<u>a</u>	
MEAN C/ME	AN B =	3.95		:
ata an	•	COL. 13 (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. () (X 106-5)
	MEAN RANGE	.98 1.51	3.88 6.00	3.85 6.16

NO OUTLIERS

9/3

STOP

COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		DRGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-	3
DOSE LEVE	L: POSITIVE	ONTROL - EMS 3	50 MG/KG I.M.		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. OR	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED!	JANUARY B.	973
		8	C		
•	A	TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X	
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	108-5	
1	1807.00	1.81	22.00	12.17	
	942.00	•94	30.00	31.85	
2 3	1054.00	1.05	47.00	44.59	
4	574.00	.57	19.00	33.10	
5	957.00	•96	28.00	29.26	
6 ,	1050.00	1.05	37.00	35.24	
7	365.00	.36	14.00	38.36	
8	1378.00	1.38	50.00	30.28	
TOTAL		8.13	247.00		
NO. OF AN TOTAL SCH	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF	RANGE EQUALS			
		COL.	COL. C	COL. D (X 10E-5)	
	, , , , , , , ,	(X 10E5)	(X 10EÖ)	32. 1	
e e e e e e e e e e	MEAN	1.02	30.88 36.00	32.42	
	HANGE	1.44	50.00 50.00	44.59	
	MAX MIN	.36	14.00	12.17	
		4 SUMMARY WITH	DUTLIERS REMOVE	<u>.</u>	•
MEAN CZM	EAN B =	35.60			
		1	601 6	CAL D	
		COL. F	COL. C	COL. D (x 10E-5)	
	6 - AV	(X 10E5)	(X 10EÖ)	35.53	
gen on the s	HEAN	90	32.14	. 35.33 15.33	
	RANGE	1.01	36.00 50.00	10.33 44.59	
	HAX	1.38	50.00	74477	
*	MIN	•36	14.00	24.26	

COMPOUNDY FDA 71-52		DRGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D	-3
OOSE LEVEL: LOW - 7.15	MG/KG			
TREATMENT: IN VIVO, OR	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED!	JANUARY 8.	1973
ANIMAL RAW CFU X NUMHER 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10ES/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	BECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 106-5	
1 308.00 2 1854.00 3 308.00 4 1168.00 5 443.00 6 411.00 7 429.00 8 346.00	.31 1.85 .31 1.17 .44 .41 .43 .35	0. 5.00 6.00 11.00 8.00 7.00 4.00 5.00	0. 2.70 19.48 9.42 18.06 17.03 9.32 14.45	
TOTAL NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS	5.27 8	46.00		
TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF	8.73			
MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. H (X 10E5) .66 1.55 1.85	COL. C (X 10E0) 5.75 11.00	COL. D (X 10E+5) 11.41 19.48	l .

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

COMPOUND! FDA 71-52		ORGANISM:	SACCHARONYCES D-3
2 17 to 2 1 to 2			

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 71.5 MG/AG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED! JANUAR: 8. 1973

	A	В	c	0
.		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	71.0ML	106 -6
1	387.00		1.00	2.58
2	552.00	•55	2.00	3.62
2	394.00	.39	5.00	17.69
<u>ح</u>	614.00	.61	8.00	13.03
<u> </u>	470.00	.47	6.00	12.77
	423.00	.42	3.00	7.09
1 2 3 4 5 6	832.00	.83	5.00	6.01
TOTAL		3.67	30.00	
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS REENED OUT OF	RANGE EQUALS	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MEAN C/M	EAN B =	8.17		
		COL. G	COL. C	COL. D
e e e		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	MEAN	.52	4.29	8.26
	PANGE	.44	7.00	10.45
•• •	XAM	.83	8.00	13.03
	MIN	.39	1.00	2.58

CTOP

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		DRGANISM: SAC	CHAROKYCES D-3
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 715.00	MG/KG		
TREATMENT	I IN VIVO. DRAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED!	JANUARY 8. 1973
			C	D
•	A	TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	108-5
1	408.00	41	2.00	4.30
	1196.00	1.20	6.00	5.02
<u>د</u> غ	511.00	.51	7.00	13.70
2 3 4 5	417.00	.42	8.00	19.18
<u>.</u>	622.00	.62	5.00	8.04
6	452.00	• 45	4.00	8.85
ž	522.00	.52	4.00	7.66
TOTAL		4.13	36.00	
TOTAL SC	again Talanta a a aga a sewa a a a a	B.72	.	
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
with the second		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	MEAN	.59	5.14	4.62
	RANGE	.79	6.00	14.28
	MAX	1.20	8.00	19.18
	MIN	•41	2.00	4.70
and a second second		and a second of the second of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	*	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOV	
HEAN C/H	IEAN B =	7.55		
		COL. R	COL. C	COL. D
A CONTRACTOR OF STREET	and the second of the second	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	HEAN	.62	4.67	8. 3
	RANGE		5.00	8.80
material transfer of the Section Secti	MAX	1.20	7.00	13.70
	141N	41	2.00	4.70

COMPOUND	FDA	71-52	. •	ORGANISM:	HYCES	

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE DATE

DATE STARTED! APRIL 20. 19/3

		В	C	Ð
		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU
ANTHAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	101-5
1	417.00		1.00	2.40
2	508.00	.51	0.	0.
2 3	625.00	.62	3.00	4.80
4 "	585.00	.54	3.00	5.13
	792.00	.79	2.00	2. 3
6	684.00	.68	3.00	4,39
7	542.00	•54	1.00	1.85
A	500.00	•50	4.00	8.00
g	608.00	•61	2.00	3,29
5 6 7 8 9	719.00	. 72	1.00	1.39
TOTAL		5.98	20.00	
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS	10		
MEAN C/M	EAN B =	3.34		
	c	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	ranggayea	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	MEAN	• ** 0	2.00	3.38
	RANGE	.38	4.00	# • 0 O
	MAX	.79	4.00	8.00
	sav 64	4.2	A.	0 -

* SUMMARY WITH OUT IERS REMOVED

MEAN CIMEAN E	7 =	E * "E.		
		COL • • • (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10EÖ)	COL. D (X 10E-5)
	MEAN	•61	i.78	2.86
	RANGE	•39	3.00	5.13
	AAX	•79	3.00	5.13

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SACCHARONYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - EMS - 350 MG/KG I.M. (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: APRIL -0. 19:3

	Δ	8	C	D
	"	TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	PECOMB CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCRÉENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	10F ~5
1	817.00		64.00	78.34
Ž	924.00	•92	69.00	96.32
3	808.00	.81	73.00	99,35
4	524.00	•52	62.00	118.32
5	540.00	.54	88.00	162,96
6	651.00	•65	70.00	107.53
7	783.00	.78	81.00	103,45
	687.00	.69	94.00	136,83
8 9	428.00	.43	74.00	172.90
10	784.00	• 78	80.00	02.04
TOTAL		6.95	775.00	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 1

MEAN C/MEAN 8 = 111,58

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10ES)	(X 10E0)	(x, 10E-5)
MEAN	.69	77.50	116.90
NANGE	•5u	32.00	94.56
MAL	.92	94.00	172.90
HIN	• 43	62.00	78.34

NO DUILTER

COMPOUND' FO	A 71	~52
--------------	------	-----

DRGANISMI SACCHAROMICES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 7.15 MG/NG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED! APRIL 20. 19:3

	A	8	C	D
	• •	TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	HECOMB CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SČRĒENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	106-5
.1 .	519.00	.52	6.00	11.56
1 2 3	547.00	•55	4.00	7.31
3	683.00	• 6 8	2.00	2.93
	721.00	.72	7.00	9.71
5	755.00	.75	8.00	10.60
ē	527.00	•53	2.00	3.40
5 6 7	606.00	.61	4.00	6.60
8	728.00	•73	3.00	4.1
8 9	740.00	•74	8.00	10.81
10	628.00	.63	7.00	11.15
TOTAL		6.45	51.00	
10. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS			
HEAN C/M	EAN B =	7.90		
	c ,	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	and the second second	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5
	MF AN	•65	5.10	7.86
	PANGE	.Ž4	6.00	8.63
	MAX	.75	8.00	11.56
	MIN	.S2.	2.00	2.43

STAB

STOP

DOSE LEVI	EL : INTERMEDIAT	E - 71.5 HG/KG		
TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO. DRA	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	AFRIL 20, 19
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	SCHEENED X 101-5
1 2	308.00 317.00	•31 •32	7.00 2.00	22.73 6.31
2 3 4 5	500.00 610.00 711.00	•51 •61 •71	3.00 5.00 3.00	5. →1 8.20 4.22
7	814.00	•8 <u>1</u> •82	2.00	4.91 2.44
TOTAL		4.09	26.00	
TOTAL SC	ONTAMINATED EQU REENED OUT OF R EAN B =			
MENIA CAM		I. I. i. i. i.		
MEAN CZPI		COL.	çor. Č	COL. D
MEAN COM	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	COL. (X 10E5)	(X 10EÖ)	(x 10E-5)
MEAN CZPI	MEAN PANGE	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51	(X 10EÖ) 3.73 5.00	(x 196-5) 7.82 20.28
MEAN COP	MEAN	COL. (X 10E5)	(X 10E0) 3.7	(x 10E-5) 7.82
TEAN COM	MEAN PANGE NAX MIN	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51 .82 .31	(X 10E0) 3.7; 5.00 7.00	(x 106-5) 7.82 20.28 22.73 2.44
MEAN C/M	MEAN PANGE MAX MIN	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51 .82 .31	(X 10E0) 3.7; 5.00 7.00 2.00	(x 106-5) 7.82 20.28 22.73 2.44
	MEAN PANGE MAX MIN	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51 .82 .31 SUMMARY WITH	(X 10EÖ) 3.7; 5.00 7.00 2.00 OUT IERS REMOVE	(x 106-5) 7.82 20.28 22.73 2.44
	MEAN PANGE MAX MIN	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51 .82 .31 SUMMARY WITH	(X 10E0) 3.7; 5.00 7.00 2.00 OUT IERS REMOVE	(x 10E-5) 7.82 20.28 22.73 2.44
	MEAN PANGE MAX MIN EAN B =	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51 .82 .31 SUMMARY WITH 5.43 COL. (X 10E5) .63 .50	(X 10E0) 3.7; 5.00 7.00 2.00 OUT IERS REMOVE (X 10E0) 3.17 3.00	(x 106-5) 7.82 20.28 22.73 2.44 0 (x 106-5) 5.33 5.75
	MEAN PANGE MAX MIN EAN B =	COL. (X 10E5) .58 .51 .82 .31 SUMMARY WITE 5.03	(X 10E0) 3.7; 5.00 7.00 2.00 OUT IERS REMOVE (X 10E0) 3.17	(x 10E-5) 7.82 20.28 22.73 2.44

COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMICES D-3
DOSE LEVE	EL: LD5 - 715.	W MG/FG		
TREATMEN]	T: IN VIVO. OF	RAL - SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	APRIL Zo. 19
	A TOTAL	.: B	C	D
		TOTAL CFU	TOTAL	PECOMB CFU
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENED X
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0HL	/1.0ML	108-5
	700.00	•70	3.00	4.29
2	584.00	•58	3.00	5.14
3	490.00	• 49	2.00	4. U
	432.00	.43	5.00	11.57
5	506.00	•51	1.00	1.98
6 7	807.00	.81	7.00	8. 67
	366.00	• 37	1.00	2. 73
8	784.00	•79	8.00	10.18
9	500.00	•50	9.00	18.00
. 10	908.00	.91	7.00	7.71
TOTAL		6.08	46.00	
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS	innyani Lina ing manganan		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	The said on the same said and			* * *
MEAN C/MI	EAN B =	7. n 2. 7 1 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
. The second second		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 106-5)
	HEAN	.61	4.60	7.43
•	RANGE	•54	8.00	16.02
	MAX	.91	9.00	100
	MIN	•37	1.00	1.98
		* SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	
	C.A. O	e e = 1		Ÿ.
MEAN C/M	LAN D =	6.63		
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E5)		(X 10E-5)
	MEAN	.62	4.11	6.26
	RANGE	.54	7.00	9.60
	MAX	•91	8.00	11.57
*	MIN	•37	1.00	1.98

Commence of the Commence of th

STOP

3. Toxicity Data - Test II

a. Acute toxicity test

Compound FDA 71-52 was incorporated in a small quantity of food (Ralston Purina Rat Chow) and the animals were allowed to eat this in a short time. A group of ten male rats (average body weight 207.3 grams) were presented a single dose of 5000 mg/kg.

No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior were observed in the seven-day observation period. No deaths occurred. At termination all animals were killed and on necropsy no gross findings were observed.

 $$\operatorname{LD}_{50}$$ for compound FDA 71-52 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg.

b. Subacute toxicity test

Compound FDA 71-52 was incorporated in a small quantity of food as in the acute study. The test substance was administered to a group of ten male rats (average body weight 169.2 grams) daily for five days, at a dosage level of 5000 mg/kg per day.

No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior was observed in the five-day period of compound administration or in the observation period which followed. The total period of observation was 14 days when the animals were terminated and gross necropsies performed. No abnormal findings were observed.

The 14-day subacute oral LD_{50} for compound FDA 71-52 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg.



c. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST II



ACUTE

TOXICITY DATA

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

Solvent:

None

Dosage Form:

Incorporated in food pellet.

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 207.3 grams.

All animals were observed for seven days.

LD₅₀:

Could not be determined at a dosage of 5 grams per

kilogram. The LD_{50} is greater than 5 grams per

kilogram and there were no abnormal gross pathologic

findings in the animals used in the study.

SUBACUTE

TOXICITY DATA

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

Solvent:

None

Dosage Form:

Incorporated in food pellet.

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 169.2 grams.

All animals were observed for 14 days.

LD₅₀:

Could not be determined at a dosage of 5 grams per

kilogram. The LD_{50} is greater than 5 grams per

kilogram and there were no abnormal gross pathologic

findings in the animals used in this study.

4. Host-Mediated Assay - Test II

All three indicator strains were tested in acute and subacute runs with a new high dose of 5000 mg/kg. No indications of genetic activity were observed in any of the tests. It was noted that the TA-1530 subacute negative control runs were unusually high.

David Brusick

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST II

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

	COMPOUND: FD	. ,	SALMON	NELLA		SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
		TA153		G-46	5		
		MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
	ACUTE	•					
	NC	3.47		1.19		11.40	
	PC	73.93	21.31	113.11	95.05	85.29	7.48
	AL	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	AI	0,	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	AH	2.60	•75	1.09	•92	19.93	1.75
	SUBACUTE	A.C.					
	NC	1.00		1.00		11.40	
	SL	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	SI	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	SH	0.	0.	0.	0.	12.12	1.06
					•		
	IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46		D-3		
				% CONC	% SURVIVA	AL RX 10E	5
ı	NC		•				•
1	PC		•	•			

STOP SRU'S:.5 ! GPS144 E XQT PROG NAME > 6 CHAR !

*II: 01/56/14 11:43:39 0.8! 14!1 00.1

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND:	FDΔ	71_52					
00/11/00/10/	1 07	11-72	SALMO	NELLA		SACCHAROMY	CEC D 2
		TA153		G-46	·	SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
	·	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE							
NC PC		11.04 56.88	5.15	1.38 306.21	221.89	1.00 0.	0.
AL		0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
AI AH		0. 0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
A11		0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
SUBACUTE NC		3.3. O.b		2 40			
SL		11.04 0.	0.	1.38 0.	0	1.00	•
SI		ŏ.	ŏ.	0.	0. 0.	0. 0.	0. 0.
SH PC		3.06	.28	• 74	• 54	ŏ.	0.
PC				306.21			
IN [°] VITRO		TA1520	c 11.0				
IN VIIKO		TA1530	G-46	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVAL	D V 10-	
				0 00110	O SORVIVAL	R X 10E	9
NC							
PC							

STOP SRU'S:.5

b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST II



	30:1110:	71-52	
CON	OUND	 11-36	

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 6, 1974

		A		В	TOTAL N	D MUTA	TION
ANIMAL	R.	W CFU X	TOT	AL CFU X	MUTANTS	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NUMBER		7/0.6ML		E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.		
1		46.50		7.75	22.0	0 2	.84
2		43.00		7.17	27.0	0 3	•77
3		58.60		9.77	23.0	0 2	• 35
4		37.20		6.20	23.0	0 3	.71
5		41.70		6.95	26.0		.74
6		44.90		7.48	15.0		.00
· 7 ·		38.50		6.42	28.0		.36
. 8		69.80		11.63	13.0		.12
Q.		62.80		10.47	28.0		.68
10		31.90	•	5.32	43.0		.09
NO. OF	ANIMALS	EQUALS	10	· ·			
				*COL 5 B	ĆOUS	c co	D

	COL. B	COLLC	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.92	24.80	3.47
RANGE	6.32	30.00	6.97
MAX	11.63	43.00	8.09
MIN	5.32	13.00	1.12

* SUMMARY WITH OUTSIERS REMOVED

	COL's B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.20	22.78	2,95
RANGE	5.43	15.00	3,25
MAX	11.63	28.00	4.36
MIN	6.20	13.00	1.12

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	: POSITIVE CON	TROL - DMN -	100 NG/KG	
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORAL	• ACUTF	NATE STARTEN:	WARCH 6, 1974
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ving the properties of €	AKINOL OF TALM
	1. A.	В	С	D
		,	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X		MUTANTS X	F = E (C/8)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	1000/1.0ML	× 108=8
<u>1</u>	50.30	8.38	1050.00	125,25
2	73.19	12.18	508.00	41.70
3	52.10	8.63	354.00	41,92
4	37. 90	6.3⊴	55 3. 00	87.54
5	50.90	8 • 48	417.00	49.15
6 7	34.90	5.82	997.00	171.40
7	42.60	7.10	372.00	52,39
8 9	39.27	6.53	303.00	46.38
9	35.80	5 . 97	296.00	49.61
	MALS EQUALS TAMINATED EQUA	ş .S. 1		
		•		
		COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	4 * *** A & \$	(X 1052)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E+8)
	MEAN	7.72	540.0 0.	73.93
*	RANGE	6.37	754.00	129,71
	SAX SIN	12.18	1050.00	171.46
	as Y. IA	5.82	296.00	41.70
	# 1	SUMMARY WITH	OUT TERS REMOVE:)
		CCL.	COL. C	COL. D
•		(X 108%)	(X 10E0)	(X 105-8)
	. VEAN	7,96	482.88	61.74
	20062	6 22	754 00	62 88

7.96 6.22

12.18

5.97

754.00 1050.00 296.00

FAN 24467

(A,X)

MIN

STOP

_	-
Е	c
~	n

83.55 125.25 41.70

	•			
COMPOUND	T FDA 71-52	•	ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530
DOSE LEV	EL: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		
TREATMEN	T:]N VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MARCH 6, 1974
	A	B	C °	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	84.90	14.15	35.00	2.47
2 3 4	67.30 78.60 85.40	11.22 13.10 14.23	28.00 30.00 20.00	2.50 2.29 1.41
5 6 7	35.80 46.70 52.40	5.97 7.78 8.73	25.00 23.00 21.00	4.19 2.95 2.40
NO. OF D	NIMALS EQUALS EAD ANIMALS EQUA U OUT OF RANGE E			
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN RANGE	(X 10E8) 10.74 8.27	(X 10E0) 26.00 15.00	(X 10E-8) 2.60 2.78
	MAX MIN	14.23 5.97	35.00 20.00	4.19
		SUMMARY WIT	OUTLIERS REMOVE	CD
		COL. ·	COL. C	COL. D
	MEAN	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN RANGE	11.00 6.37	27.40 14.00	2.52 .66
	MAX MIN	14.15 7.78	35.00 21.00	2.º5 2.29

MUST HEBIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET COMPOUND: FOA 71-52 UNGAMISM: SALMONELLA TAISS. DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: UNE 14, 1974 TOTAL NO. MUTAT ON ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS A FIE (B) NUMBER 1057/0. PML 1058/170ML 1020/1.0mm x 102-3 51,60 8,60 243.00 20.20 31.3 5.22° 135.00 25.00 3 35.00 . S.83 30.00 6.17 33.30 5.55 11.00 1 . 9 -5 43,50 7.25 146.00 20.14 65.70 1 . 93 27.000 2.47 7 41.00 5.63 30.00 وَ وَ وَ 28.30 4072 20.00 5,51 47.3 27.00 3.46 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS ----TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS CULL CUL. C Col. D (\$ 1080) (X 10E0) (X 102-6) 6.90 75.50 11. 4 ⊕ g. - 6 . 23 -232.00 2 . 27 37.3 10.95 243.00 2 .25 - IN 4.72 11.00 NO OUTLIERS

	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-52	***	OMBANISM: SAL	MONELLA TALE
	DOSE LEVEL	.: POSITIVE CONT	THOL - DMA -		
	TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ONAL,	ACOTE	Sale Tradies.	" UNE 14% 19
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RA= CFU X 10£7/0.8%L	T.TAL CF6 X	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS A LUZZVI.VML	D NUTAT ON FRE C S;
	1 2 3	54,83 56,287 54,68 75,90	9.13 9.70 10.77	719:00 3 1342:00 603:00	70.72 55.55 50.01
	6 7	75.95 96.00 75.40	12.63 12.65 15.33 12.57	552.00 541.00 758.00 432.00	69,72 50,67 47,82 34,38
	9	99.40 79.20 63.20	15.57 13.26 11.53	826,00 38.00 705.00	53,43 46,33 74,02
	NO. OF ANI	MALS SOURLS 1			•
		EAN Pyres Mak Mak	COU. (% 1720) 12.41 7.43 16.7	COL. C (A 1022) 589.7 454.0 689.0 432.0	COL. 0 -(X.10£-2) -55,88 -44.35 -772
) P	NO OUTLIER				34 • 3 3 1138 - 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

NUMBER 10E7 1 4 2 3. 3 19 4 3. 5 6 7 6 7 6 8 9 10 NO. OF ANIMALS TO NO. OF ANIMALS	VO, ORAL, S A CFU X TO		TOTA NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML 30.00 31.00 29.00 14.00 20.00 21.00 27.00	D MUTATAON FRE CAB) A 102-8 3.70 5.64 1.75 5.15 1.22 1.69 2.12 3.33
ANIMAL RANIMAL NUMBER 1057 1	CFU X TU ZO. EML 1 8.60 3.00 7.30 3.00 7.30 3.00 6.40 6.40 6.40 6.40	8.1v 5.50 32.65 11.45 15.57 9.94 6.12 16.67	70TA NO. ***DTANTS X 1020/1.0ML ***30.00 ****1.00 *****20 ******20 *******20 ********	D MUTATAON FAR (C/B) X 102-8 3.70 5.64 1.75 5.15 1.25 2.12
NUMBER 1057 1 4 2 3 3 19 4 3 5 6 6 7 7 5 8 9 10 NO. OF ANIMALS 3 IN NO. OF ANIMALS 3	70.5ML 1 8.60 3.00 7.30 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.7 3.40 3.40 3.40	8.1v 5.50 32.65 5.63 11.45 13.57 9.94 6.14 16.57	20.00 20.00 31.00 27.00 29.00 20.00 21.00 27.00	FRE (C/B) 7.70 5.64 1.75 5.10 1.22 1.39 2.12
NUMBER 10E7 1	70.5ML 1 8.60 3.00 7.30 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.7 3.40 3.40 3.40	8.1v 5.50 32.65 5.63 11.45 13.57 9.94 6.14 16.57	20.00 20.00 31.00 27.00 29.00 20.00 21.00 27.00	FRE (C/B) 7.70 5.64 1.75 5.10 1.22 1.39 2.12
2 3 19 4 3: 4 3: 5 6: 6 6: 7 6: 7 6:	3.00 7.30 3.07 5.40 3.40	5.50 32.65 5.53 11.45 10.57 9.90 5.12 10.57	31.00 57.00 29.00 14.00 20.00 21.00	3.70 5.64 1.75 5.15 1.22 1.55 2.12
3 19 4 3: 5 6 6 7 7 5: 8 9 10 NO. OF ANIMALS 30 NO OUTLIERS INF	7.38 3.67 5.40 3.40 3.40	5.50 32.65 5.53 11.45 10.57 9.90 5.12 10.57	31.00 57.00 29.00 14.00 20.00 21.00	5,64 1,75 5,15 1,22 1,59 2,12
NO. OF ANIMALS TOP NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS TOP OCENTER TOP TOP	3 . 7 /	5,63 11,45 13,57 9,9 6,12 16,47	57.00 29.00 14.00 20.00 21.00	1.75 5.15 1.22 1.39 2.12
NO. OF ANIMALS TO NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS	3 . 7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11.45 13.57 9.9 5.12 16.57	29.00 14.00 20.00 21.00 27.00	5.15 1.22 1.59 2.12
NO. OF ANIMALS TOP NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS TOP OOS! & ILIG I-FORM TIME	9 40 9 4 0 3 • 70 1 • 20 1 • 20	10.57 10.96 10.12	14.00 25.00 21.00 27.60	1.22 1.39 2.12
NO. OF ANIMALS TOP NO OUTLIERS TOP 0021 % ILIG 1-FORM TIME),40 1,70 1,50	9,90 3,12 10,87	20.00 21.00 27.00	1.89 2.12
NO. OF ANIMALS TO NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS TOP OOSI & ILIG I-FORM TIME	.74° · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.57	21.00 27.00	2.12
NO. OF ANIMALS TO NO OUTLIERS TOP DO21 % ILLG 1-FORM T TOP	,50	10.87	27.00	
NO. OF ANIMALS TO NO OUTLIERS NO OUTLIERS TOP	ုမူမာမွ ၆ ရာသ		± 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- F - W
NO. OF ANIMALS TO NO OUTLIERS) 5 · ·	11000	22.00	ž, į l
NO OUTLIERS TOP DOZI & ILIG I-FORM T THE	the state of the s		43.00	3.78
NO OUTLIERS TOP DOZI & ILIG I-FORM T TOP	IU.LS 1			
NO OUTLIERS TOP DOS1 % ILLG 1-FORM T TOP	the contraction with a graph of	COL"	CoL. C	COL. D
NO OUTLIERS TOP POSS ILLG I-FORM TOTAL	•	(X 1089)	(K 1020)	(X 102 8)
NO OUTLIERS TOP POST X ILLG 1-FORM TOTAL		11.45	2	3. 05
NO OUTLIERS TOP TOP 0021 % ILLG 1-F025 TOTAL		27,38	43.0	4.41
NO OUTLIERS TO THE POST TO THE PROPERTY OF THE		32,88	5 ∤. 00	5.64
TOP ÕOSI % ILLG I-FORM TOTHO		5.5	14.00	1.22
POST A ILLG I-FORMAT INP				
1777/ 1890		•	and the second of the second o	
10013 INSTANTAL TOUR PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	5 I I A J I	FIEL A	<	
AKBACK SEFORMON 1	1 / 1		\wedge	
GERM ELTRY LINE A	OD: SS CA	LEN L Me	· / Windows San	
16) 1610 A	007 \			
	. —			
en e		and a start of the	A Secretary of the Control of the Co	en e
	error a start all all all a			

•	^		•	•	161		 P- F.			-52
E :	{ 1	M	-	11	IN	113	- 11	Δ	, ,	

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

	A	В	C	D
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	PAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
ĩ	53.10	8.85	13.00	1.47
.2	46.60	7.77	12.00	1.55
3	61.00	10.17	13.00	1.28
4	36,50	6.08 ⋅	7.00	1.15
5	50.30	8.38	11.00	1.31
6	61.90	10.32	10.00	.97
' 7 '	57.10	9.52	6.00	•63

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8,73	10.29	1.19
RANGE	4.23	7.00	.91
MAX	10.32	13.00	1.55
MIN	6•08	6.00	•63

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.59	11.00	1.29
RANGE	4.23	6.00	•58
MAX	10.32	13.00	1.55
MIN	6.08	7.00	.97

COMPOUND:	FDA	71-52	ORGANISM: SALMONELI	A G-46	
				, ~ • ~ ~ •	,

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

	A	B .	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. Mutants X 10e0/1.0ml	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
ï	80.20	13.37	869.00	65.01
2	67.70	11.28	1281.00	113.53
3	128.10	21.35	1209.00	56.63
4	69.80	11.63	1296.00	111.40
5	60.10	10.02	1632.00	162.93
6	56.20	9.37	909.00	97.04
7	68.50	11.42	1129.00	98.89
8	58.00	9.67	2107.00	217.95
9	69.60	11.60	1097.00	94.57

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 9
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

	COL. A	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.19	1281.00	113.11
RANGE	11.98	1238.00	161.33
MAX	21.35	2107.00	217.96
MIN	9.37	869.00	56.63

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. 8	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.50	1177.75	100.00
PANGE	11.98	763.00	106.30
MAX	21.35	1632.00	162.93
MIN	9.37	869.00	56.63

				-
COMPOUND	:	FD4	71	-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

	. . .	8	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAM CFU X 1057/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1050/1.0ML	MUTATION FOE (C/B) X 10E-8
1	106.60	17.77	17.00	• 9.5
2	. 88.20	14.70	34.00	2.31 *
3	91.00	15.17	9.00	<u>.</u> 59
4	8 5. 40	14.23	17.00	1.19
5	83.60	13.93	16.00	1.15
6	163.40	27.23	11.00	- 40
7	71.90	11.98	12.00	1.00

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS: 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS: 1 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS &

	COL. *	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 1088)	(X 10E0)	(x 10E-8)
MESN	16,43	16.57	1,09
RANGE	15,25	25.00	1.91
YAX	27,23	34.00	2,31
MIN	11.98	9.00	• 40

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

*	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	16.72	13.67	.88
RANGE	15.25	8.00	.79
MAX	27.23	17.00	1.19
MIN	11.98	9.00	• 40

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA 6-46

DOSE LEVEL: MEGATIVE CONTROL - SALIME

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, SUBACUTE . DATE STARTED: NASUARY 18, 1974

	A	В	C	ם
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	UTANTS X	FBE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	7 102-8
1	32.10	5.35	9.00	1.68
2	53.20	8.87	22.00	2.49
3	115.60	19.27	7.00	.36
, 4	40.20	6.7 0	7.00	1.04
5	45.20	7.53	11.00	1.46
6	39.40	6.57	13.00	1.98
7	39. 30	6.55	8.00	1.22
8	50.10	8.35	7.00	.84

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS ---NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	COL.	COL. C	COL. O
	(X 1058)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.65	10.50	1.38
PANGE	13.92	15.00	2.12
₩ AX	19.27	22.09	2.48
SIN	5.35	7.00	.36

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52	ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46
---------------------	---------------------------

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/NS

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: SAMUARY 18, 1974

		. B	c	D	
			TOTAL NO.	UTATION	
ANIMAL	RAH CHU X	TOTAL CEU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)	
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	1058/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8	
1	42.40	7.07	4376.00	619.23	**
1 2 3	30.20	5.03	1611.00	320.06	
3	46,30	7.72	2907.00	376.71	
4	36.20	6.03	2073.00	343,58	
5	40.70	6.78	1404.00	206.97	
6	46.3r	7.72	1364.00	176.76	
7	36.60	6.10	1376.00	225,57	
8	53 .20	8.87	1968.00	221.95	
9	45.5 0	7.58	2270.00	299.33	
10	39.40	6.57	1786.00	271.97	
NO. OF	ANIMALS EQUALS	10		ı	
	,	COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D	
	•	(X.10E9)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN	6.95	2113.50	306.21	
	RANGE	3.∗3	3012.00	442,4B	
	X.A.∨	8.87	4376.00	619.23	
	MIN	5.03	1364.00	176.76	
	The second secon				
	45	SUMMARY WITH (OUT TERS REMOVE	n e	
		COL.	COL. C	COL. D	
•		(χ 10ξε)	(X 10E0)	(X 105-8)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6,93	1862.11	271.43	
	₽# N G E	3.83	1543.00	199.95	
	A STATE OF THE STA	0 0 7	0007 00	27/71	

8.87

5.03

tinx

STOP

MIN

2907.00

1364.00

376.71

176.76

COMPOUND: F	FDA 71-52	•	n=GANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46	
DOSE LEVEL:	HIGH - 5000	M6/<6			
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORA	9 SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 18, 197	۲,
	A	В	C	Ð	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAN CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 108-8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	61.60 86.50 73.70 47.20 126.30 180.60 63.20 63.60	10.27 14.42 12.28 7.87 21.05 30.10 10.53 10.60	3.00 10.00 13.00 7.00 8.00 14.00 12.00	.29 .69 1.06 .89 .38 .47 1.14	
NO. OF CONT	ALS EQUALS AMINATED EQUA	48 - 80			
	GEAN PANGE GAX MIN	COL. (X 1028) (X 1028) 14.64 22.23 30.10 7.87	COL. C (X 10E0) 9.75 .11.00 14.00 3.00	COL. D (X 105-3) .74 .85 1.14	

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3 DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 13, 1974 В C D TOTAL CFU TOTAL RECOME / CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCREENED X **RECOMBINANTS** SCREENED X NUMBER . 10E5/1.0ML 10E5/1.0ML /1.0ML 10E-5 1 519.00 10.00 19.27 2 761.00 .76 7.00 9.20 3 4 815.00 .81 11.00 13.50 786.00 11.00 13.99 5 965.00 .96 9.00 9.33 580.00 .58 9.00 15.52 743.00 .74 6.00 8.08 827.00 .83 8.00 9.67 9 890.00 . 89 7.00 7.87 10 392.00 • 39 5.00 12.76 TOTAL 7.28 83.00 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 MEAN C/MEAN B = 11.40 COL. B COL. C COL. D (X 10E5)(X 10E0)(X 10E-5)MEAN •73 8.30 11.92 **RANGE** .57 6.00 11.40 MAX .96 11.00 19.27 MIN . 39 5.00 7.87 * SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED MEAN C/MEAN B = 10.80

MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) •75 •57 •96 •39	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.11 6.00 11.00 5.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 11.10 7.65 15.52 7.87
-----------------------------	--	---	---

STOP RU'S:.7

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 71-52

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - EMS - 350 MG/KG I.M.

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 13, 1974

ANI MAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 10E-5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	794.00 699.00 635.00 811.00 804.00 736.00 617.00 1136.00 879.00	.79 .70 .63 .81 .80 .74 .76 .62 1.14	107.00 66.00 75.00 47.00 51.00 74.00 63.00 23.00 77.00 88.00	134.76 94.42 118.11 57.95 63.43 100.54 83.33 37.28 67.78 100.11
TOTAL		7.87	671.00	
NO. OF A	NIMALS EQUALS	10		

MEAN C/MEAN B =

85.29

NO OUTLIERS	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .79 .52 1.14 .62	COL. C (X 10E0) 67.10 84.00 107.00 23.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 85.77 97.48 134.76 37.28
NO OUTLIERS				31.20

HOST REDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND	FDA 71-52		ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROSICES D
DOSE LEVE	EL: HIGH - 8000) MG/ G		
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	: MARÇM 13, 1
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10ES/1.0ML	B TOTAL OF, SCREENED X 1025/1.04L	TOTAL RECO BINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB × CF _U SCREENED X 108-8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1216.00 327.00 632.00 1191.00 338.00 592.00 572.00	1.22 .33 .63 1.19 .34 .59	15.00 9.00 20.00 20.00 9.00 14.00 10.00	12.34 27.52 31.65 15.79 26.63 23.65 17.48
TOTAL		4.87	97.00	
NO. OF DE	IMALS EQUALS AD ANIMALS EQUA EENED OUT OF RA	ALS. 8 AGE EQUALS		
MEAN C/ME	4N B = 19	₽•9::		
	HEAN PANGE HAX	COL. (X 1055) •7. •.29	COL. C (X 10E0) 13.85 11.00	COL. D (X 102 5) 22.29 19.31

NO OUTLIERS

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

عرب بعد د	COMPOUND	* FDA 71-52	•	ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROM CES 0-3
	DOSE LEV	EL: HIGH - 5000	M9/46 .		
	TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO, ORAL	, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MARCH 13, 197
	ting the second			:	D
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 102-3
	1	854.00	• 65	10.00	11.71
	2 3 4 5 6	485.00 1139.00 736.00 1080.00 623.00	.48 1.14 .74 1.08 .62	14.00 15.00 16.00 13.00 4.00	28.87 13.17 21.74 12.04
	7 8	714.00 1095.00	.71 1.10	13.00	6.42 121 5.48
	9	2017.00	2.02	15.00	7.44
	TOTAL		8.7	106.00	er transport i de la companya de la Companya de la companya de la compa
		NIMALS EQUALS Ontaminated equa	ng Lyanga l amgaan	Andrew Communication of the Co	n www. Committee of Committee o
	MEAN C/M	EAN B = 12	.12		
-		•	(COL. 6 (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (x 10E-5)
		MEAN RANGE NAX	.97 1.53 2.02	11.78 12.00 12.00	13.90 23.39 28.87
STOP	NO OUTLI	ERS	•48	4 • 0 0	5.48

5. Cytogenetics - Test I

a. <u>In vivo</u>

(1) Acute study

The negative control group and the compound test groups contained no aberrations. The positive control group exhibited the severe chromosomal damage due to the positive control compound. The mitotic indices were within normal limits with the exception of the 24-hour high dosage level group which was depressed.

(2) Subacute study

There were no aberrations observed in the negative control group or in any of the test compound dosage level groups. The mitotic indices were within normal limits.

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The negative control group contained two cells with bridges, one of which contained an acentric fragment. The medium level of the test compound contained one cell with a bridge. The low and high groups contained no aberrations. The positive control was within normal limits.

c. CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST I



FURCELLERAN FDA 71-52 **ACUTE STUDY** METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	<u>Time*</u>	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	Saline	6 24 48	3 3 3	150 150 150	6 6 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0
Low Level	7.15	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 250	6 7 8	0 0 0.8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0.8
Intermediate Level	71.5	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 176 250	5 5 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0
High Level	715	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 170 250	7 3 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0
Positive Control TEM	0.3	48	5	232	4	3	21	14(a),7.3(f), 1.3(pp)	35.3

Time of kill after injection (hours).

Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen. ++

FURCELLERAN FDA 71-52 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)*	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	Saline	3	150	4	0	0	0	0
Low Level	7.15	5	250	7	0	0	0	0
Intermediate Level	71.5	5	250	5	0	0	0	0 .
High Level	715.0	5	250	11	0	0	0	0

^{*} Dosage 1X/day X 5 days.

** Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

*** Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

FURCELLERAN FDA 71-52 ANAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

	Compound	Dosage (mcg/ml)	Mitotic Index**	No. of Cells	% Cells with Acentric Frag.	% Celis with Bridges	% Multipolar Cells	% Cells Other Aber.*	% Cells with Aber.
,	Low Level	2.0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
	Medium Level	20.0	1	100	0	1	0	0	1
	High Level	200.0	1	100	. 0	0	. 0 .	0	0
	Negative Control	Saline	1	100	1	2	0	0	2
	Positive Control (TEM)	0.1	1	100	3	12	0	0	15

<sup>Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).
Percent of cells in mitosis: 200 cells observed/dose level.
Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.</sup>

6. Cytogenetics - Test II

Compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran, was administered to male rats with an average body weight of 300-350 grams. In the acute study (single dose) and in the subacute study (five doses) a dose of 5000 mg/kg was employed. Metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from the bone marrow cells of these animals and scored for chromosomal aberrations.

Neither the variety nor the number of these aberrations differed significantly from the negative controls; hence, compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran, can be considered non-mutagenic as measured by the cytogenetic test.

CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST II



FURCELLERAN FDA 71-52 **ACUTE STUDY** METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST II

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	<u>Time*</u>	No. of <u>Arrimals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells With Other Aberrations**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	6 hrs. 24 hrs. 48 hrs.	5 5 5	250 187 250	7.36 5.38 7.04	0 0 0	1(0.4) 0 0	1(0.4) 0 0	2(0.8) 0 0
Negative Control	Food Pellet	6 hrs. 24 hrs. 48 hrs.	3 3 3	150 150 150	8.06 9.40 8.13	0 0 0	0 0 1(0.66)	0 0 0	0 0 1(0.66)
Positive Control TEM	0.3	24 hrs.	5	250	4.65	14(5.6)	34(13.6)	>12(4.8) 7f(2.8) 1pp(0.4) 1pu(0.4)	58(23.2)

^{*} Time of kill after dosing.
** Numbers in () are percent aberrations per total cells counted.
+ Symbols: > = greater than 10 aberrations per cell; f = fragments; pp = polyploid; and pu = pulverization.
++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

FURCELLERAN FDA 71-52 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST II

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells w/ Other Aber.**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	5	220	6.12	0	3(1.36)	0	3(1.36)
Negative Control	Food Pellet	3	150	5.60	0	0	0	. 0

^{**} Number in () are percent aberrations per total cells counted. ++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

7. Dominant Lethal Study - Test I

a. Acute study

In general, significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups were shown at various weeks throughout the parameters. Significant increases in average resorptions at weeks 7 and 8 were seen in the experimental groups but the negative control showed significant decreases compared to the historical control.

b. Subacute study

A similar pattern of results as seen in the acute study.

c. DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY TABLES

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST I

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	,	1	109/159=0.69	14/20=0.70	13/20=0.65	15/19=0.79	12/20=0.60	10/20=0.50
		2	119/159=0.75	16/20=0.80	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75	18/20=0.90	2/19=0.11**
		3	. 119/158=0.76	20/20=1.00	15/20=0.75*	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	5/20=0.25**
		4	136/160=0.85	16/20=0.80	15/19=0.79	15/20=0.75	19/20=0.95	5/19=0.27** **
!!	1	5	127/159=0.80	17/20=0.85	16/19=0.85	19/20=0.95	20/20=1.00	11/19=0.58
!	: !	6	128/159=0.81	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	20/20=1.00*	17/20=0.85
9 •		7	133/157=0.85	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85
٠		8	133/160=0.84	16/20=0.80	15/20=0.75	18/20=0.90	14/19=0.74	17/19=0.90

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN).

TABLE II
ND 52 STUDY ACUTE

COMPOUND 52

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

4								
LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG		DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	,	1	1351/109=12.4	172/14=12.3	157/13=12.1	185/15=12.3	147/12=12.3	103/10=10.3*მD **მ;
Ţ	!	2	1427/119=12.0	186/16=11.6	197/16=12.3	178/15=11.9	239/18=13.3*@I *@I	20/ 2=10.0 ap
E !!	!	3	1435/119=12.1	224/20=11.2 ap	167/15=11.1 მD	212/17=12.5*@	DI 223/18=12.40I	29/ 5= 5.8**@ **@
	•	4	1626/136=12.0	173/16=10.8	186/15=12.40I	178/15=11.9	234/19=12.3	7/ 5= 1.4**à **à
!		5	1466/127=11.5	212/17=12.5	184/16=11.5	213/19=11.2	221/20=11.1*aD	95/11= 8.6**a *aa
10		6	1512/128=11.8	3 210/16=13.1 **@	218/18=12.1	208/18=11.6aD	D 229/20=11.5@D	175/17=10.3**@ @D
£ !		7	1626/133=12.2	188/17=11.1	206/18=11.4	201/16=12.601	1 242/19=12.701	184/17=10.8 ***@
		8	1551/133=11.7	191/16=11.9	172/15=11.5	193/18=10.7	162/14=11.6	177/17=10.4*aD

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

POSITIVE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG		DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE
		1	1504/109=13.8	195/14=13.9	174/13=13.4	193/15=12.9 an	160/12=13.3	120/10=12.0aD aD
		2	1588/119=13.3	208/16=13.0	210/16=13.1	198/15=13.2	255/18=14.2	27/ 2=13.5
ε !!	1133	3	1565/119=13.2	253/20=12.7	185/15=12.3 ap	225/17=13.2	256/18=14.2*@I @I	56/ 5=11.2 *aD
	~	4	1784/136=13.1	214/16=13.4	205/15=13.7	206/15=13.7	270/19=14.2	63/ 5=12.6
!		5	1648/127=13.0	237/17=13.9	211/16=13.2	249/19=13.1	254/20=12.7aD	122/11=11.1**@ **@
1		6	1689/128=13.2	229/16=14.3 @I	245/18=13.6	231/18=12.801	263/20=13.2	200/17=11.8**@ *@@
1 1		7	1767/133=13.3	219/17=12.9	231/18=12.8	217/16=13.6	257/19=13.5	202/17=11.9 **@
!		8	1823/133=13.7	223/16=13.9	193/15=12.9		an 177/14=12.6an *aan *an	209/17=12.3@D @D

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

 ε AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, @, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, d, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN) TABLE IV

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG		SE LEVEL 15.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	153/109= 1.4	23/14= 1.6	17/13= 1.3	8/15= 0.5 *aD	13/12= 1.1	17/10= 1.7
		2	161/119= 1.4	22/16= 1.4	13/16= 0.8	20/15= 1.3	16/18= 0.9	7/ 2= 3.5**a **a
		3	130/119= 1.1	29/20= 1.5	18/15= 1.2	13/17= 0.8	33/18= 1.8	27/ 5= 5.4**a **a
!		4	158/136= 1.2	41/16= 2.6 *@I	19/15= 1.3	28/15= 1.9	36/19= 1.9 @I	56/ 5=11.2**a **a
£ !		5	182/127= 1.4	25/17= 1.5	27/16= 1.7	36/19= 1.9 *@@I	33/20= 1.7	27/11= 2.5
12		6	177/128= 1.4	19/16= 1.2	27/18= 1.5	23/18= 1.3	34/20= 1.7 @I	25/17= 1.5
		7	141/133= 1.1	31/17= 1.8 aI	25/18= 1.4	16/16= 1.0	15/19= 0.8	18/17= 1.1
		8	272/133= 2.1	32/16= 2.0	21/15= 1.4	32/18= 1.8	15/14= 1.1	32/17= 1.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.6.0.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.6.0.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

&,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	28/109=0.26	5/14=0.36	6/13=0.47	1/15=0.07 *@D	8/12=0.67	59/10=5.90**@@I **@@I
		2	53/119=0.45	7/16=0.44	18/16=1.13*@I *@@I	10/15=0.67	10/18=0.56	1/ 2=0.50
		3	61/119=0.52	20/20=1.00	8/15=0.54	16/17=0.95	11/18=0.62	6/ 5=1.20
!		4	62/136=0.46	24/16=1.50 @I	11/15=0.74	6/15=0.40aD	10/19=0.53	0/ 5=0.0 **aaD **aaD
		5	74/127=0.59	8/17=0.48	7/16=0.44	8/19=0.43	10/20=0.50	9/11=0.82
13	I	6	58/128=0.46	12/16=0.75	6/18=0.34	11/18=0.62	4/20=0.20aD *aD	31/17=1.83@I **@@I
8 !		7	65/133=0.49	1/17=0.06 **@@	8/18=0.45*@I	6/16=0.38*@I	9/19=0.48**@@I	18/17=1.06*@@I
		8	71/133=0.54	2/16=0.13 **@@	14/15=0.94*@@I	4/18=0.23 *ap	10/14=0.72@I	7/17=0.42

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

&,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	24/109=0.23	4/14=0.29	3/13=0.24	1/15=0.07	4/12=0.34	7/10=0.70*
		2	38/119=0.32	4/16=0.25	10/16=0.63*	9/15=0.60*	7/18=0.39	1/ 2=0.50
	•	3	39/119=0.33	10/20=0.50	6/15=0.40	8/17=0.48	7/18=0.39	2/5=0.40
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	4	46/136=0.34	9/16=0.57	7/15=0.47	5/15=0.34	7/19=0.37	0/5=0.0 *
		5	45/127=0.36	5/17=0.30	4/16=0.25	7/19=0.37	8/20=0.40	3/11=0.28
H		6	44/128=0.35	6/16=0.38	5/18=0.28	7/18=0.39	3/20=0.15	11/17=0.65
44		7	46/133=0.35	1/17=0.06	6/18=0.34*	6/16=0.38*	8/19=0.43*	7/17=0.42*
		8	50/133=0.38	2/16=0.13	8/15=0.54*	3/18=0.17	5/14=0.36	6/17=0.36

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN).

TABLE VII COMPOUND 52 STUDY ACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	I ! I !	1	3/109=0.03	1/14=0.08	2/13=0.16	0/15=0.0	3/12=0.25	7/10=0.70**
		2	14/119=0.12	1/16=0.07	5/16=0.32	1/15=0.07	2/18=0.12	0/ 2=0.0
		3	17/119=0.15	6/20=0.30	2/15=0.14	5/17=0.30	2/18=0.12	1/ 5=0.20
		4	12/136=0.09	4/16=0.25	2/15=0.14	1/15=0.07	2/19=0.11	0/5=0.0
		5	18/127=0.15	2/17=0.12	2/16=0.13	1/19=0.06	2/20=0.10	3/11=0.28
<u>н</u> бі		6	13/128=0.11	5/16=0.32	1/18=0.06*	3/18=0.17	1/20=0.05*	9/17=0.53
•		7	14/133=0.11	0/17=0.0	1/18=0.06	0/16=0.0	1/19=0.06	4/17=0.24*
		8	18/133=0.14	0/16=0.0	4/15=0.27*	1/18=0.06	4/14=0.29*	1/17=0.06

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL I 71.500 MG/KG	OOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	28/1351=0.03	5/172=0.03	6/157=0.04	1/185=0.01	8/147=0.06 a	59/103=0.58** **æ
2	53/1427=0.04	7/186=0.04	18/197=0.10	10/178=0.06	10/239=0.05	1/ 20=0.05
3	61/1435=0.05	20/224=0.09	8/167=0.05	16/212=0.08	11/223=0.05	6/ 29=0.21
4	62/1626=0.04	24/173=0.14 @I	11/186=0.06aD	6/178=0.04*@1	D 10/234=0.05@D	0/ 7=0.0
5	74/1466=0.06	8/212=0.04	7/184=0.04	8/213=0.04	10/221=0.05	9/ 95=0.10
6	58/1512=0.04	12/210=0.06	6/218=0.03	11/208=0.06	4/229=0.02	31/175=0.18*0 **)
7	65/1626=0.04	1/188=0.01 *@D	8/206=0.0401	6/201=0.03	9/242=0.04	18/184=0.10*@ @I
8	71/1551=0.05	2/191=0.02 **a	14/172=0.09*@@ @D	0I 4/193=0.03	10/162=0.07@I	7/177=0.04*@

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, @ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *, @ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*, @} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	104/159=0.66	12/20=0.60	15/20=0.75	15/20=0.75	12/20=0.60
	•	2	118/160=0.74	13/17=0.77	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85	13/19=0.69
		3	119/159=0.75	16/20=0.80	19/20=0.95	16/19=0.85	16/20=0.80
		4	120/154=0.78	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80	12/20=0.60
		5	122/157=0.78	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85
17		6	136/159=0.86	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	14/17=0.83	18/20=0.90
		7	135/155=0.88	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

무열병병병병병병병병병병병병병

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	7.150 MG/KG	71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	1231/104=11.8	146/12=12.2	178/15=11.9	168/15=11.2	141/12=11.8
·		2	1474/118=12.5	164/13=12.6	198/17=11.7	213/17=12.5	163/13=12.5
		3	1405/119=11.8	161/16=10.1 aD	241/19=12.7*aa *aI		189/16=11.8
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	4	1414/120=11.8	197/16=12.3	243/18=13.5 **@	178/16=11.1	142/12=11.8
		5	1462/122=12.0	198/18=11.0	210/18=11.7	201/18=11.2	201/17=11.8
18		6	1626/136=12.0	216/17=12.7 @I	213/18=11.8	177/15=11.80	D 229/18=12.7
		7	1566/135=11.6	177/16=11.1	191/17=11.2	215/18=11.9	215/18=11.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \varepsilon, \partial, *$ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO $!, \varepsilon, \partial, *$ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL I 71.500 MG/KG	OOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	1385/104=13.3	171/12=14.3	200/15=13.3	194/15=12.9	154/12=12.8
		2	1599/118=13.6	187/13=14.4	221/17=13.0@D	240/17=14.1	178/13=13.7
		3	1535/119=12.9	202/16=12.6	262/19=13.8	230/16=14.4*@	
	*	4	1499/120=12.5	218/16=13.6 @I	256/18=14.2	192/16=12.0*@	156/12=13.0
		5	1554/122=12.7	240/18=13.3	233/18=12.9	222/18=12.3	229/17=13.5
19 ·		6	1809/136=13.3	230/17=13.5	236/18=13.1	191/15=12.7	244/18=13.6
		7	1711/135=12.7	203/16=12.7	219/17=12.9	240/18=13.3	236/18=13.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG AF	RITH OSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE I CONTROL	OOSE LEVEL D 7.150 MG/KG		LEVEL .000 MG/KG
		1	154/104= 1.5	25/12= 2.1	22/15= 1.5	26/15= 1.7	13/12= 1.1
		2	125/118= 1.1	23/13= 1.8	23/17= 1.4	27/17= 1.6	15/13= 1.2
1		3	130/119= 1.1	41/16= 2.6	21/19= 1.1	36/16= 2.3 *@I	21/16= 1.3
**		4	85/120= 0.7	21/16= 1.3	13/18= 0.7	14/16= 0.9	14/12= 1.2
88!!88	811	5	92/122= 0.8	42/18= 2.3 **@@]			28/17= 1.7 **@@I
80		6	183/136= 1.4	14/17= 0.8	23/18= 1.3	14/15= 0.9	15/18= 0.8
•		7	145/135= 1.1	26/16= 1.6	28/17= 1.7	25/18= 1.4 *aI	21/18= 1.2

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

日日日日日日日日日日日

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	40/104=0.39	3/12=0.25	10/15=0.67	6/15=0.40	6/12=0.50
		2	59/118=0.50	13/13=1.00	3/17=0.18*@@D *@D	9/17=0.53	8/13=0.62
		3	69/119=0.58	11/16=0.69	8/19=0.43	7/16=0.44	10/16=0.63
		4	66/120=0.55	6/16=0.38	10/18=0.56	11/16=0.69	7/12=0.59
·		5	78/122=0.64	12/18=0.67	12/18=0.67	7/18=0.39	9/17=0.53
	8 !!	6	62/136=0.46	2/17=0.12 **aa	7/18=0.39	3/15=0.20	11/18=0.62*@@I
21		7	70/135=0.52	5/16=0.32	2/17=0.12 **aaD	13/18=0.73	8/18=0.45

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS, AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	31/104=0.30	2/12=0.17	5/15=0.34	4/15=0.27	3/12=0.25
		2	38/118=0.33	7/13=0.54	2/17=0.12*	5/17=0.30	6/13=0.47
		3	42/119=0.36	8/16=0.50	5/19=0.27	5/16=0.32	6/16=0.38
		4	42/120=0.35	3/16=0.19	7/18=0.39	6/16=0.38	5/12=0.42
	,	5	54/122=0.45	6/18=0.34	6/18=0.34	6/18=0.34	5/17=0.30
22		6	43/136=0.32	2/17=0.12	4/18=0.23	3/15=0.20	9/18=0.50*
		7	42/135=0.32	4/16=0.25	2/17=0.12	7/18=0.39	5/18=0.28

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	8/104=0.08	1/12=0.09	3/15=0.20	1/15=0.07	2/12=0.17
٠		2	10/118=0.09	5/13=0.39	1/17=0.06*	1/17=0.06*	2/13=0.16
		3	17/119=0.15	2/16=0.13	3/19=0.16	1/16=0.07	2/16=0.13
	÷ .	4	15/120=0.13	2/16=0.13	2/18=0.12	3/16=0.19	2/12=0.17
		5	19/122=0.16	3/18=0.17	4/18=0.23	1/18=0.06	3/17=0.18
ಸ ಬ		6	13/136=0.10	0/17=0.0	2/18=0.12	0/15=0.0	2/18=0.12
•		7	16/135=0.12	1/16=0.07	0/17=0.0	2/18=0.12	3/18=0.17

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO ! * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII COMPOUND 52 STUDY SUBACUTE

등등등등등등등등등등등등등등

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL DO 7.150 MG/KG		OOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
1	40/1231=0.04	3/146=0.03	10/178=0.06	6/168=0.04	6/141=0.05
2	59/1474=0.05	13/164=0.08	3/198=0.02*@@D *@@D	9/213=0.05	8/163=0.05
3	69/1405=0.05	11/161=0.07	8/241=0.04*aD	7/194=0.04aD	10/189=0.06
4	66/1414=0.05	6/197=0.04	10/243=0.05	11/178=0.07	7/142=0.05
5	78/1462=0.06	12/198=0.07	12/210=0.06	7/201=0.04	9/201=0.05
6	62/1626=0.04	•	7/213=0.04 aap	3/177=0.02 *aD	11/229=0.05*@@I
7	70/1566=0.05	5/177=0.03	2/191=0.02	13/215=0.07	8/215=0.04

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- * = TWO-TAILED TEST
- ϕ = ONE-TAILED TEST .
- ONE *, ϑ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
- TWO *, ω = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- *, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8. Dominant Lethal Study - Test II

Compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran, Edible, was administered to ten male rats (400 grams) at a dose level of 5,000 mg/kg according to acute (single dose) and subacute (five doses) protocols. Each treated male rat was mated with two virgin female rats each week for seven (subacute) or eight (acute) weeks. Two weeks after mating, these female rats were sacrificed and the fertility index, preimplantation loss and lethal effects on the embryos were determined and compared with these same parameters calculated from negative (saline-dosed) and positive (0.3 mg/kg TEM-dosed) control animals.

The values calculated for these parameters from animals with compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran, Edible, did not significantly vary from those obtained from the negative controls, except for an increase in <u>corpora lutea</u> during week 2 of the acute. Since this increase in <u>corpora lutea</u> was not matched by an increase in the number of implants, it is also reflected as a preimplantation loss. Since both the second week experimental and second week negative controls were scored by the same people no unusual explanation for this increase in <u>corpora lutea</u> is apparent. TEM caused a significant preimplantation loss and embryo resorption during the first five weeks.

Comparing these data with the previously obtained values for dose levels of 715 mg/kg, 71.5 mg/kg and 7.15 mg/kg revealed no dose-response or time trend patterns, thus indicating that compound FDA 71-52, Furcelleran, Edible, does not induce dominant lethal mutations as measured by this test.



DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY TABLES

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-52

FURCELLERAN

TEST II

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	•	1	138/199=0.70	16/ 20=0.80	13/ 20=0.65	10/ 20=0.50*
		2	154/199=0.78	15/ 20=0.75	10/ 20=0.50	12/ 20=0.60
		3	154/198=0.78	14/ 20=0.70	13/ 20=0.65	4/ 20=0.20**
		4	172/200=0.86	17/ 20=0.85	17/ 20=0.85	6/ 20=0.30**
		5	160/199=0.81	15/ 20=0.75	15/ 20=0.75	15/ 20=0.75
		6	156/199=0.79	19/ 20=0.95	15/ 20=0.75	14/ 20=0.70*
		. 7	169/197=0.86	15/ 20=0.75	13/ 20=0.65	15/ 20=0.75
		8	166/200=0.83	16/ 20=0.80	15/ 20=0.75	14/ 20=0.70

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

)G)SE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1720/138=12.5	203/ 16=12.7	179/ 13=13.8 **@@	100/ 10=10.0**aaD **aaD
		2	1871/154=12.2	182/ 15=12.1	114/ 10=11.4	81/ 12= 6.8**@@D **@@D
		3	1867/154=12.1	173/ 14=12:4	143/ 13=11.0 aD	25/ 4= 6.3**@aD **@aD
		4	2063/172=12.0	208/ 17=12.2	214/ 17=12.6	32/ 6= 5.3**@@D **@@D
		5	1906/160=11.9	193/ 15=12.9 *@I	187/ 15=12.5	149/ 15= 9.9**@aD *@D
		6	1868/156=12.0	254/ 19=13.4 *@@	185/ 15=12.3	185/ 14=13.2 *aI
		7	2082/169=12.3	183/ 15=12.2	163/ 13=12.5	184/ 15=12.3
		8	1978/166=11.9	199/ 16=12.4	173/ 15=11.5	182/ 14=13.0 *@@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

I.O

ONE 1.8.0.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO 1.8.0.* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{2*,} D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	•	1	1936/138=14.0	251/ 16=15.7 *@I	213/ 13=16.4 **@@I	126/ 10=12.6**@DD
			2120/154=13.8	217/ 15=14.5	159/ 10=15.90I **@aI	168/ 12=14.0
		3	2087/154=13.6	215/ 14=15.4 *@@I	168/ 13=12.9**aaD aD	54/ 4=13.5*@D
		4	2299/172=13.4	232/ 17=13.7	240/ 17=14.1	77/ 6=12.8
		5	2132/160=13.3	220/ 15=14.7 *@I	216/ 15=14.4	201/ 15=13.4
		6	2100/156=13.5	302/ 19=15.9 **@@I	233/ 15=15.5	252/ 14=18.0 **@@I
		7	2284/169=13.5	203/ 15=13.5	194/ 13=14.9	221/ 15=14.7 *@I
		8	2330/166=14.0	217/ 16=13.6	196/ 15=13.1 ab	212/ 14=15.1aI

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \delta, \delta, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05$ TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01.

^{8 *,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

COMPOUND 52

TABLE IV STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	•	1	216/138= 1.6	48/ 16= 3.0	34/ 13= 2.6 *@I	26/ 10= 2.6
	·	2	249/154= 1.6	35/ 15= 2.3 **@@I	45/ 10= 4.50I **@@I	87/ 12= 7.3**@@I **@@I
		3	220/154= 1.4	42/ 14= 3:0 **aai	25/ 13= 1.9	29/ 4= 7.3**@@I **@@I
·	· 1	4	236/172= 1.4	24/ 17= 1.4	26/ 17= 1.5	45/ 6= 7.5**aai **aai
		5	226/160= 1.4	27/ 15= 1.8	29/ 15= 1.9 *@I	52/ 15= 3.5 **@@I
		6	232/156= 1.5	48/ 19= 2.5 *aar	48/ 15= 3.2	67/ 14= 4.8 **@@I
		7	202/169= 1.2	20/ 15= 1.3	31/ 13= 2.4	37/ 15= 2.5 @I
		8	352/166= 2.1	18/ 16= 1.1	23/ 15= 1.5	30/ 14= 2.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, \alpha, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \alpha, \alpha * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

6,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

COG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	37/138=0.27	15/ 16=0.94 *@I.	3/ 13=0.24aD	88/ 10=8.80**aaī **aaī
		2	72/154=0.47	13/15=0.87	7/ 10=0.70	69/ 12=5.75**aai **aai
		3	89/154=0.58	3/ 14=0.22 *aD	6/ 13=0.47	25/ 4=6.25**aai **aai
	••	4	85/172=0.50	8/ 17=0.48	19/ 17=1.12	29/ 6=4.84**aaI **aaI
		5	98/160=0.62	6/ 15=0.40	10/ 15=0.67	55/ 15=3.67**@@I **@@T
		6	76/156=0.49	17/ 19=0.90	7/ 15=0.47	19/ 14=1.36 *@I
		7	87/169=0.52	3/ 15=0.20 *@D	11/ 13=0.85@I	19/ 15=1.27**aaI **aaI
		8	87/166=0.53	9/ 16=0.57	13/ 15=0.87 *ai	15/ 14=1.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \epsilon, a, *$ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO $!, \epsilon, a, *$ = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

2*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN) TABLE VI

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	31/138=0.23	8/ 16=0.50	3/ 13=0.24	10/ 10=1.00**
		2	52/154=0.34	6/ 15=0.40	3/ 10=0.30	12/ 12=1.00**
		3	55/154=0.36	2/ 14=0.15	4/ 13=0.31	4/ 4=1.00**
		4	64/172=0.38	7/ 17=0.42	7/ 17=0.42	6/ 6=1.00*
		5	60/160=0.38	6/ 15=0.40	6/ 15=0.40	15/ 15=1.00**
		6	55/156=0.36	10/ 19=0.53	6/ 15=0.40	9/ 14=0.65
		7	62/169=0.37	3/ 15=0.20	6/ 13=0.47	11/ 15=0.74**
		8	62/166=0.38	7/ 16=0.44	10/ 15=0.67	8/ 14=0.58

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT PROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY ACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE
		1	4/138=0.03	4/ 16=0.25	0/13=0.0	10/ 10=1.00**
		2	18/154=0.12	4/ 15=0.27	1/ 10=0.10	12/ 12=1.00**
	•	3	25/154=0.17	1/ 14=0.08	1/ 13=0.08	4/ 4=1.00** **
		4	15/172=0.09	1/ 17=0.06	3/ 17=0.18	5/ 6=0.84**
		5	24/160=0.15	0/ 15=0.0	3/ 15=0.20	12/ 15=0.80**
		6	17/156=0.11	4/ 19=0.22	1/ 15=0.07	4/ 14=0.29
		7	20/169=0.12	0/15=0.0	3/ 13=0.24*	5/ 15=0.34* *
*		8	20/166=0.13	2/ 16=0.13	3/ 15=0.20	3/ 14=0.22

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING. THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII

COMPOUND 52

STUDY ACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE Control	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	37/1720=0.02	15/203=0.07 *aI	3/179=0.02*@D	88/100=0.88**@aI **aaI
2	72/1871=0.04	13/182=0.07	7/114=0.06	69/ 81=0.85**aaI **aaI
3	89/1867=0.05	3/173=0.02 '	6/143=0.04	25/ 25=1.00**aaI **aaI
4	85/2063=0.04	8/208=0.04	19/214=0.09	29/ 32=0.91**aaI **aaI
5	98/1906=0.05	6/193=0.03	10/187=0.05	55/149=0.37**aaI **aaI
6	76/1868=0.04	17/254=0.07	7/185=0.04	19/185=0.10 aI
7	87/2082=0.04	3/183=0.02 *aD	11/163=0.07@I	19/184=0.10**aaI *aaI
8	87/1978=0.04	9/199=0.05	13/173=0.08 *aI	15/182=0.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 _TWO *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

STUDY SUBACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	134/199=0.68	14/ 20=0.70	13/ 20=0.65
·	•	2	152/200=0.76	14/ 20=0.70	12/ 20=0.60
		3	156/199=0.79	15/ 20=0.75	16/ 20=0.80
		. 4	153/194=0.79	17/ 20=0.85	16/ 20=0.80
		5	155/197=0.79	16/ 20=0.80	15/ 20=0.75
		6	168/199=0.85	18/ 20=0.90	17/ 20=0.85
		7	169/195=0.87	17/ 20=0.85	19/ 20=0.95

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

The state of the s

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	1630/134=12.2	162/ 14=11.6	165/ 13=12.7
		2	1904/152=12.5	182/14=13.0	151/ 12=12.6
		3	1864/156=12.0	192/ 15=12.8	192/ 16=12.0
	•	4	1791/153=11.7	207/ 17=12.2	199/ 16=12.4 @I
		5	1874/155=12.1	205/ 16=12.8	170/ 15=11.3*@@D
		6	2021/168=12.0	216/ 18=12.0	227/ 17=13.4
		7	1954/169=11.6	219/ 17=12.9 **@@	

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

- & AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
 ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST
- ONE !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01
- *, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

COMPOUND 52

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

DOSE LEVEL NEGATIVE HISTORICAL LOG ARITH 5000. MG/KG CONTROL CONTROL DOSE DOSE WEEK

- 1 1855/134=13.8 195/ 14=13.9 180/ 13=13.9
- 2 2110/152=13.9 232/ 14=16.6 169/ 12=14.1**aaD
- 3 2085/156=13.4 244/ 15=16.3 232/ 16=14.5 IGG**
- 4 1953/153=12.8 244/ 17=14.4 234/ 16=14.6 *aai
- 5 2079/155=13.4 254/ 16=15.9 192/ 15=12.8**@@D **aal
- 6 2290/168=13.6 259/ 18=14.4 269/ 17=15.80I **@@T
- 7 2218/169=13.1 287/ 17=16.9 301/ 19=15.8 **aal

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \varepsilon, \vartheta, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05$ TWO !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

 ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL		NTIVE ONTROL			E LE	G/KG
	1	225/134= 1.	7 33/	14= 2.4		15/	13=	1.2
	2	206/152= 1.	4 50/	14= 3.6	**@@I	18/	12=	1.5**@@D
	3	221/156= 1.	4 52/	15= 3.5	*0I	40/	16=	2.5 *aI
	4	162/153= 1.	1 37/	17= 2.2	**@@I	35/	16=	2.2 *aai
	5	205/155= 1.	.3 49/	16= 3.1	**@@I	22/	15=	1.50D
	6	269/168= 1.	6 43/	18= 2.4	øI	42/	17=	2.5
	7	264/169= 1.	.6 68/	17= 4.0	**@@I	64/	19=	3.4 *@@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	56/134=0.42	14/ 14=1.00	5/ 13=0.39
		2	77/152=0.51	18/ 14=1.29 @I	16/ 12=1.34 **@@I
		3	90/156=0.58	16/ 15=1.07	7/ 16=0.44
		4	85/153=0.56	8/ 17=0.48	18/ 16=1.13 @I
		5	96/155=0.62	14/ 16=0.88	10/ 15=0.67
		6	84/168=0.50	17/ 18=0.95 *aai	19/ 17=1.12 *aI
		7	107/169=0.64	20/ 17=1.18	12/ 19=0.64

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

G SE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
	,	1	42/134=0.32	7/ 14=0.50	4/ 13=0.31
		2	50/152=0.33	8/ 14=0.58	9/ 12=0.75 **
	•	3	55/156=0.36	7/ 15=0.47	6/ 16=0.38
•		4	58/153=0.38	6/ 17=0.36	9/ 16=0.57
		5	67/155=0.44	8/ 16=0.50	6/ 15=0.40
		6	58/168=0.35	12/ 18=0.67	10/ 17=0.59
		7	61/169=0.37	7/ 17=0.42	7/ 19=0.37

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

DO LO

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	12/134=0.09	4/ 14=0.29	1/ 13=0.08
	,	2	14/152=0.10	4/14=0.29	4/ 12=0.34
		3	22/156=0.15	5/ 15=0.34	1/ 16=0.07
		4	18/153=0.12	2/ 17=0.12	5/ 16=0.32
		5	23/155=0.15	4/ 16=0.25	3/ 15=0.20
		6	20/168=0.12	4/ 18=0.23	5/ 17=0.30
		7	25/169=0.15	7/ 17=0.42	2/ 19=0.11*

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE ! * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO ! * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII

COMPOUND 52

STUDY SUBACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
1	56/1630=0.03	14/162=0.09	5/165=0.03
2	77/1904=0.04	18/182=0.10	16/151=0.11 **aaI
3	90/1864=0.05	16/192=0.08	7/192=0.04
4	85/1791=0.05	8/207=0.04	18/199=0.09
5	96/1874=0.05	14/205=0.07	10/170=0.06
6	84/2021=0.04	17/216=0.G8 *aI	19/227=0.08 a I
7	107/1954=0.05	20/219=0.09	12/237=0.05

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

APPENDICES

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. <u>Animal Husbandry</u>

1. Animals (Rats and Mice)

Ten to twelve week old rats (280 to 350 g) and male mice (25 to 30 g) were fed a commercial 4% fat diet and water ad libitum until they were put on experiment. Flow Laboratories random-bred, closed colony, Sprague-Dawley CD strain rats were used in the cytogenetic studies. Flow Laboratories ICR male mice were employed in the Host-Mediated Assay.

2. Preparation of Diet

A commercial 4% fat diet was fed to all animals. Periodic tests to verify the absence of coliforms, <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Pseudomonas</u> sp. were performed.

3. Husbandry

Animals were held in quarantine for 4-11 days. Mice were housed five to a cage and rats one to five to a cage. Animals were identified by ear punch. Sanitary cages and bedding were used, and changed two times per week, at which time water containers were cleaned, sanitized and filled. Once a week, cages were repositioned on racks; racks were repositioned within rooms monthly. Personnel handling animals or working within animal facilities wore head coverings and face masks, as well as suitable garments. Individuals with respiratory or other overt infections were excluded from the animal facilities.

B. <u>Dosage Determination</u>

1. Acute LD_{50} and LD_{5} Determination

Since the compounds proposed for testing are included in

the food adcitive regulations as "generally recognized as safe" (GRAS), it was expected that a large number of them would be sufficiently non-toxic so that determination of a LD_{50} or a LD_{5} would be of no practical value. In fact, this has been our experience with previously tested compounds from this list. In the case of these relatively non-toxic compounds, attempts were made to assure that the amounts to be administered would not affect the animals by means (mechanical, physical, etc.) related to their bulk rather than to their toxicity. In the cases of certain compounds where a LD_{50} or a LD_{5} could not be determined, an exceedingly high concentration, 5 g/kg, was employed and accepted as the LD_{5} level. In cases where the toxicity was high enough to allow determination of a LD_{5} , the following protocol was used.

Thirty rats of the strain chosen for studies described below and of approximately the age and weight specified were assigned at random to six groups. Each group was then given, using the chosen route of administration, one of a series of dosages of the test compound following a logarithmic dosage scheme. The series of dosages were derived from a consideration of whatever toxicity information was available for the particular test compound. The objective in selecting dosages was to choose values which would cause mortalities between 10% and 90%.

When information was inadequate to derive a suitable series of dosages, five rats were used to identify the proper range. Each of these was given one of a widely spaced (differing by 10X) series of doses. This was confidently expected to suffice for derivation of the series of dosages to be used in the LD_{50} determination.



The mortalities observed when the series of dosages were given to the 30 rats were then subjected to a probit analysis and calculation of LD_{50} , LD_{5} , slope and confidence limits by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon. The highest dose level used was either a finite LD_{5} or 5000 mg/kg. The intermediate level used was either 1/10 of the finite LD_{5} or 2500 mg/kg. The low level used was either 1/100 of the finite LD_{5} or 30 mg/kg.

2. Subacute Studies

Subacute doses were identical to those used in the acute studies. Each subacute study animal was given the acute dosage once a day for each of five consecutive days (24 hours apart).

C. <u>Mutagenicity Testing Protocols</u>

1. Host-Mediated Assay

Flow Laboratories ICR random-bred male mice were used in this study. In the acute and subacute studies ten animals, 25-30 g each, were employed at each dose level. Solvent and positive controls were run at all times. The positive control (dimethyl nitrosamine) was run by the acute system only at a dose of 100 mg/kg for Salmonella. For yeast, ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) intramuscularly injected at a dose of 350 mg/kg was used. The solvents used and the toxicity data are presented in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

The indicator organisms used in this study were: (1) two histidine auxotrophs (his G-46, TA-1530) of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, and (2) a diploid strain (D-3) of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. The induction of reverse mutation was determined with the <u>Salmonella</u>; mitotic recombination was determined with yeast. Chemicals were evaluated directly by <u>in vitro</u> bacterial and yeast studies prior to, or concurrent with, the studies in



mice. Only animals on the subacute studies were not fed the evening prior to compound administration. The Salmonella were carried in tryptone yeast extract gel, transferred weekly. They were transferred to tryptone yeast extract broth 48 hours before use: they were transferred a second time from broth to broth 24 hours prior to use, and again 8 hours before use. The mouse inoculum was prepared by transferring 4 ml of the 8-hour broth culture to 50 ml broth bottles which had been prewarmed at 37°C. Exponential log-phase organisms were inoculated intraperitoneally into the mice approximately 2-1/2 hours later when the appropriate density indicating 3.0 \times 10^8 cells/ml was reached. The Saccharomyces was carried in yeast complete agar. The inoculum was prepared by harvesting the organisms from the surface of the plates with sterile saline. The cells were washed three times with sterile saline and suspended in a concentration of 5.0 \times 10⁸ cells/ml. Two ml of the suspension was inoculated into each mouse intraperitoneally. Total plate counts on Salmonella were on tryptone yeast extract and for Saccharomyces on yeast complete medium.

a. Acute study

Three dosage levels (usage, intermediate [determined as discussed previously], and LD_5) were administered orally by intubation to ten mice. Positive controls and negative vehicle controls were included in each study. All animals received 2 ml of the indicator organism intraperitoneally. Each ml contained 3.0 x 10^8 cells for Salmonella and 5.0 x 10^8 cells for Saccharomyces. Three hours later, each animal was killed and 2 ml of sterile saline was introduced intraperitoneally. As much fluid as possible was then aseptically removed from the peritoneal cavity. Dilution blanks for bacteria containing 4.5 ml of serile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial



dilutions were made of each peritoneal exudate (0.5 ml exudate + 4.5 ml saline) yielding a concentration series from 10^0 (undiluted peritoneal exudate) through 10^{-7} . For enumeration of total bacterial counts, the 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} dilutions were plated on tryptone yeast extract agar, 3 plates/sample, 0.2 ml sample/ plate. Each sample was spread over the surface of the plate using a bent glass rod immersed in 95% ethanol and flamed just prior to use. In plating for the total mutant counts on minimal agar, the 10^0 dilution was used, 0.2 ml being plated on each of 5 plates. The plating procedure was identical to that followed for the tryptone yeast extract agar plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C, tryptone yeast extract agar plates for 18 hours and minimal agar plates for 40 hours. For yeast mitotic recombination, dilution blanks containing 4.5 ml of sterile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial dilutions were made of each sample yielding a series from 10^{0} to 10^{-5} . Samples of 0.1 ml of the 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , and 10^{-3} dilutions were removed and plated on complete medium (10 plates each). All plates were incubated at 30°C for 40 hours. The 10^{-5} dilutions were used to determine total populations and the 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} plates were examined after an additional 40 hours at 4°C for red sectors indicating a mutation. Bacterial scoring was calculated as follows:

Total mutants on 5 plates x appropriate exponent = CFU/ml (CFU is Colony Forming Units) of sample plated CFU/ml x one/dilution factor ($10^{0} - 10^{-7}$) = CFU/ml in undiluted exudate. The mutation frequency (MF) calculated for each sample was:

 $MF = \frac{total\ mutant\ cells}{total\ population}$

 $MFt/MFc = \frac{MF \text{ of experimental sample}}{MF \text{ of control sample}}$

(MFt/MFc = 1.00 for control sample)



Yeast mitotic recombinants (presumptive <u>ade 2</u>, <u>his 8</u> homozygotes) were seen as red colonies or as red sectors on a normally white yeast colony. The plates (from 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} dilutions) were scanned under the 10X lens of a dissecting scope to enumerate the red colonies and sectors. Population determinations were made from the 10^{-5} dilution plates. A recombinant frequency (RF) was calculated:

RF = total recombinants counted total number colonies screened

b. Subacute study

Similar groups of animals at each dose level received five oral doses of the test compound 24 hours apart. Within 30 minutes after the last dosing, the animals were inoculated with the test organism and handled in the same fashion as those in the acute study.

c. <u>In vitro</u> study

Cultures of <u>S</u>. <u>typhimurium</u> histidine auxotrophs

(G-46 and TA-1530) were plated on appropriate media. The test compound was then added to the plate, either in the form of a microdrop of solution (0.01 to 0.25 ml) applied to a small filter paper disc resting on the agar or a small crystal applied directly to the agar. Tenfold serial dilutions of the culture were employed and plated so as not to miss the optimum cell density for mutant growth. Mutant colonies were observed and scored. Strain D-3 <u>Saccharomyces</u> cells at proper dilutions were shaken with the test compound, diluted, and plated at 50% survival level or above (see HMA Supplementary Materials and Methods). Red sectors were then scored and the frequency calculated after suitable incubation. Negative and positive controls were run concurrently. The positive control was EMS for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Saccharomyces</u>. The <u>in vitro Salmonella</u> tests were reported



as (+) or (-) or questionable; the <u>in vitro Saccharomyces</u> tests were reported as sample concentrations, percent survival, and recombinants/ 10^5 survivors. For the <u>Saccharomyces</u> a 50% survival level, e.g., an arbitrary 5.0% w/v test level, was used when no LD₅₀ was determinable.

2. Cytogenetic Studies

a. In vivo study

Ten to twelve week old, male, albino rats obtained from a closed colony (random-bred) were used. A total of 59 animals in the acute study and 18 animals in the subacute study was used, as illustrated in the following protocol.

Number of Animals Used

Acute Study

Treatment	Time Kille	d After Admir	nistration
	6 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours
High Level	5	5	. 5
Intermediate Level	5	_. 5	5
Low Level	5	5	5
Positive Control	0	0	5
Negative Control	3	3	3

Subacute Study

Five doses 24 hours apart; animals killed 6 hours after last dose.

Treatment	Killed After Administration
High Level	5
Intermediate Level	5 .
Low Level	5
Negative Control	3

All animals were dosed by gastric intubation.

Four hours after the last compound administration, and two hours prior to killing, each animal was given 4 mg/kg of colcemid intra-



peritoneally in order to arrest the bone marrow cells in C-mitosis. Animals were killed by using CO₂, and the adhering muscle and epiphysis of one femur were removed. The marrow "plug" was removed with a tuberculin syringe and an 18 gauge needle, aspirated into 5 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (BSS) in a test tube and capped. The specimens were centrifuged at 1,500 RPM in a table-top centrifuge for 5 minutes, decanted, and 2 ml of hypotonic 0.5% KCl solution was added with gentle agitation to resuspended the cells. The specimens were then placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes in order to swell the cells. Following centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1,500 RPM, the supernatant was decanted and 2 ml of fixative (3:1 absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid) was added. The cells were resuspended in the fixative with gentle agitation, capped, and placed at 4°C for 30 minutes. The specimens were again centrifuged, decanted, 2 ml of prepared fixative was added, and the cells were resuspended and placed at 4°C overnight.

The following day the specimens were again centrifuged, decanted and 0.3 - 0.6 ml of freshly prepared fixative was added to obtain a suitable density. The cells were resuspended and 2 - 3 drops of the suspension were allowed to drop onto a clean, dry slide held at 15° from the horizontal. As the suspension flowed to the edge of the slide, it was ignited by an alcohol burner and allowed to flame. Following ignition, the slides were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Duplicate slides were prepared. The slides were stained using a 5% Giemsa solution (Giemsa buffer pH 7.2) for 20 minutes, rinsed in acetone, 1:1 acetone:xylene, and placed in fresh xylene for 30 minutes. The slides were then mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 24 x 50 mm coverglasses. The coverglasses were selected to be 0.17 mm \pm 0.005 mm in thickness by use of a coverglass micrometer. The preparations



were examined using Leitz Ortholux I & II microscopes with brightfield optics and xenon light sources. These specimens were scanned with 10X and 24X objectives and suitable metaphase spreads that were countable were then examined critically using 40X, 63X or 100X oil immersion flatfield apochromatic objectives. Oculars were either 12X or 16X widefield periplanatics and the tube magnification either 1X or 1.25X. The filters used were either a didymium (BG20) or a Schott IL570 mu interference filter.

The chromosomes of each cell were counted and only diploid cells were analyzed. They were scored for chromatid gaps and breaks, chromosome gaps and breaks, reunions, cells with greater than ten aberrations, polyploidy, pulverization, and any other chromosomal aberrations which were observed. They were recorded on the currently used forms and expressed as percentages on the summary sheets. Fifty metaphase spreads were scored per animal. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting at least 500 cells and the ratio of the number of cells in mitosis/the number of cells observed was expressed as the mitotic index.

Positive controls in the acute study consisted of animals which had been given the known mutagen Triethylene Melamine (TEM) administered intraperitoneally at a level of 0.30 mg/kg. Negative controls on the acute and subacute studies consisted of the vehicle in which the compound was administered. The dosage levels, solvents and toxicity data are included in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

b. <u>In vitro</u> study

Human embryonic lung cultures (WI-38) which were negative for adventitious agents (viruses, mycoplasma) which may interfere



were used. These cells were employed at passage level 19. The cells had been transferred using 0.025% trypsin and planted in 32 oz. prescription bottles containing 40 ml of tissue culture medium. When growth was approximately 95% confluent the cells were removed from the glass using trypsia. centrifuged, and frozen in tissue culture medium containing dimethyl su foxide (DMSO). Cells were frozen in vials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at a concentration of 2 \times 10^6 cells/ml. When needed, the vials were removed from liquid nitrogen, quick-thawed in a 37°C water bath, washed free of DMSO, suspended in tissue culture medium (minimal essential medium [MEM] plus 1% glutamine, 200 units/ml of penicillin and 200 µg/ml of streptomycin and 15% fetal calf serum) and planted in milk dilution bottles at a concentration of 5 \times 10⁵ cells/ml. The test compound was added at three dose levels using three bottles for each level, 24 hours after planting. The dose levels required a preliminary determination of a tissue culture toxicity. This was accomplished by adding logarithmic doses of the compound in saline to a series of tubes containing 5 \times 10⁵ cells/ml which were almost confluent. The cells were examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours. Any cytopathic effect (CPE) or inhibition of mitoses was scored as toxicity. Five more closely spaced dose levels were employed within the two logarithmic dosages, the higher of which showed toxicity and the lower no effect. The solvents used and the range finding data are presented in the toxicity data report under Results and Discussion. The dose level below the lowest toxic level was employed as the high level. Logarithmic dose levels were employed for the medium and low levels.

Cells were incubated at 37°C and examined twice daily to determine when an adequate number of mitoses were present. Cells were harvested by shaking when sufficient mitoses were observed, usually 24 - 48



hours after planting, centrifuged, and fixed in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 30 minutes.

The specimens were centrifuged, decanted, and suspended in acetic acid-orcein stain (2.0%) and a drop of suspension placed on a clean dry slide. Selected coverglasses 0.17 mm in thickness were placed on the suspension and the excess stain gently expressed from the slide. The coverglasses were sealed with clear nail polish and examined immediately.

The microscopes, objectives, oculars, filters and light sources were enumerated under the metaphase description. Positive controls used were TEM (at a concentration of 0.1 mcg/ml dissolved in saline) and negative controls which consisted of the vehicle in which the test compound was dissolved, which was 0.85% saline. Data were reported on forms currently used and expressed as percentages on the anaphase summary sheets.

3. Dominant Lethal Assay

In this test, male and female random bred rats from a closed colony were employed. These animals were 10-12 weeks old at the time of use. Ten male rats were assigned to each of 5 groups; 3 dose levels selected as described above, a positive control (triethylene melamine) (TEM) and a negative control (solvent only). The positive control was administered intraperitoneally. Administration of the test compound was orally by intubation in both the acute study (1 dose) and in the subacute study (1 dose per day for 5 days). Following treatment, the males were sequentially mated to 2 females per week for 8 weeks (7 weeks in the subacute study). Two virgin female rats were housed with a male for 5 days (Monday through Friday). These two females were removed and housed in a cage until killed. The male was rested on Saturday and Sunday and two new females introduced to the cage on



Monday. It has been our experience that conception has taken place in more than 90% of the females by Friday and that the two day rest is beneficial to the male as regards subsequent weekly matings. Females were killed using $\rm CO_2$ at 14 days after separating from the male, and at necropsy the uterus was examined for deciduomata (early deaths), late fetal deaths and total implantations.

Sufficient animals were provided in our experimental design to accommodate for any reduction in the number of conceptions. Each male was mated with two females per week, and this provided for an adequate number of implantations per group per week (200 minimum) for negative controls, even if there was a fourfold reduction in fertility of implantations. Results were analyzed according to the statistical procedures described in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Corpora lutea, early fetal deaths, late fetal deaths and total implantations per uterine horn were recorded on the raw data sheets, which are submitted separately.

D. <u>Supplementary Materials and Methods</u>

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay <u>In Vitro</u> and Formulae
 - .a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests

This method has been published by Ames: The Detection of Chemical Mutagens with Enteric Bacteria, in <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>; <u>Principles and Methods for Their Detection</u>, Vol. 1, Chapter 9, pp. 267-282, A. Hollaender, Editor, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

- b. <u>In vitro</u> for mitotic recombination
- (1) Strain D-3 was grown to stationary phase on complete medium agar plates at 30°C (3-4 days). Cells were rinsed from the plates and washed twice in saline and cell concentration determined spectro-



photometrically. (A standard curve previously determined for colony forming units versus % transmittance at 545 mu was easily used.)

- (2) Cells from the concentration suspension were diluted appropriately into 0.067 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.2 to provide 5×10^7 cells/ml in a total of 25 ml.
- (3) The test chemical was first tested for 4 hours at 30°C, with shaking, at concentrations which permitted determination of the 50% survival level. Then, if not included in the first experiment, the compound was tested again only at the 50% survival level. If 50% survival level could not be determined, the arbitrary test level of 5% w/v was used.
- plated on complete agar medium for determination of total population and red sectors. Total surviving population was conveniently measured on plates of 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates), and sectors determined on plates of 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates). Plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°C followed by a holding period of 2 days at 4°C to promote color development with limited enlargement of the colonies. Red sectors were scored by systematically scanning the plates with a dissecting microscope at 10X magnification.
- (5) The frequency of red sectors can then be calculated and may be expressed conveniently as sectors per 10^5 survivors for comparison with untreated controls.
- (6) Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) was employed as the positive control in both <u>in vitro</u> systems.
 - c. Minimal medium (bacteria):
 Spizizen's Minimal Medium:



4X Salt Solution:

 $(NH_4) SO_4 8.0 gm$

K₂HPO₄ 56.0 gm

KH₂PO_Δ 24.0 gm

Na Citrate 4.0 gm

Mg SO_{Δ} 0.8 gm

Biotin 0.004 gm

H₂O qs to 1 liter

Sterilize by autoclaving

(121°C/15 min.)

Medium:

4X Salt Solution :250 ml

5.0% Glucose (sterile) :100 ml (If histidine is added

at concentration of 30 mg/liter, this becomes a complete bacterial

medium.)

1.5% Bacto-agar :650 ml (sterile)

d. Complete medium (bacteria):

Bacto-Tryptone 1.0 gm

Yeast-Extract 0.5 gm

Bacto-Agar 2.0 gm

Distilled H₂0 100.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

e. Complete medium (yeast):

KH₂PO₄ 1.5 gm

 $MgSO_{\Delta}$ 0.5 gm

 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 4.5 gm

 Peptone
 3.5 gm

 Yeast-Extract
 5.0 gm

 Glucose
 20.0 gm

 Agar
 20.0 gm

 Distilled H20
 1000.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

 Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes (from Nichols, 1970)

"Anaphase preparations may be made by several methods. One convenient approach is to grow cells directly on coverslips in petri dishes. With human fibroblasts 400,000 cells added to a 22 \times 44 mm coverslip in a 50 mm petri dish grown in a 5% ${\rm CO}_2$ atmosphere in air has proved very satisfactory. When adequate numbers of mitoses are visualized directly utilizing an inverted microscope (usually 48 to 92 hours after planting) the coverslip is transferred to absolute ethanol for 15 minutes for fixation. They are then stained with any one of a number of suitable stains (Fuelgen, May-Grunwald-Giemse, orcein) and attached to a slide with mounting media for evaluation. Anaphase preparations may also be prepared on cells grown in suspension or cells from a monolayer that have been put into suspension. In this instance the cells are centrifuged and fixed with the squash fixative. They are then suspended in the stain and a drop of the suspension put on the slide and covered with a coverslip. However, in this case, only the excess stain is gently expressed from under the coverslip and no squashing is carried out. In anaphase preparations no pretreatment with colchicine or hypotonic expansion is used and no technique for spreading the cells is used, so that the spindle and normal relationships of the chromosomes are not disturbed."



- 3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies

 The following statistical analyses were employed as a means of analyzing the results of the dominant lethal studies.
 - a. The fertility index

The number of pregnant females/number of mated females with the chi-square was used to compare each treatment to the control. Armitage's trend was used for linear proportions to test whether the fertility index was linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

b. Total number of implantations

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control. Regression techniques were used to determine whether the average number of implantations per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.

- The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of corpora lutea per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control.
 - d. Preimplantation losses

Preimplantation losses were computed for each female by subtracting the number of implantations from the number of corpora lutea. Freeman-Tukey transformation was used on the preimplantation losses for each female and then the t-test was used to compare each treatment to control. Regression technique was used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.



e. Dead implants

Dead implants were treated the same as pre-

implantation losses.

f. One or more dead implants

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants was computed, each treatment compared to control by chi-square test and Armitage's trend used for linear proportions to see if proportions were linearly related to either arithmetic or log dose. Also, probit regression analysis was used to determine whether the probit of the proportions was related to log dose.

g. Two or more dead implants

The proportion of females with two or more dead implants computed was treated same as above (f).

h. Dead implants per total implants

Dead implants per total implants were computed for each female and used Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation on data for each female; then used t-test to compare each treatment to control.

Historical control data was compiled on a continuous basis as studies were completed. In addition to comparing each treatment to control, as outlined above, each treatment was compared to a historical control.

In order to take variation between males into account, a nested model was used. An analysis of across weeks is also provided.

In addition to these tests, the distribution forms of the various parameters were tested in order to evaluate the appropriateness of some of the tests being used. Certain correlations between parameters may exist and were examined as one step to determine the appropriateness of models. If necessary, alternate test methods were implemented.



The results are presented in tabular form with the addition of historical control information. In addition to these tables, a written report of all findings is provided. As information became available from the on-going investigation of these data, it was reported and suggestions included for changes to the methods of analysis. The statistical reports give the level of significance using both a one-tailed and two-tailed test. Finally, a summary sheet for each study is provided.

🚬 Group

i=1,2,---,10 Males within each group

Females within Males within Groups

MPTIONS:

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$$
, $Cij \sim nid(0,0c^2)$,

Males are randomly drawn from infinite population

		للرابل المستنف المستنف المستنف المستنف المستنف المستنف			
<u>8.U.</u>	d.f.	S.S.	MS	E(MS)	F
TOTAL	.39	552 (Yijk - Y)2			
GROUPS		20E (qi q)2	S,~	6 + 262 +2020	57
MALES WITHIN GROUPS	18			02+202	200
MAINDER	20		5,2	0-	

E. References

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay
 - a. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:
 Nature, 221:68, 1969.
 - b. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.: Science, 163:689, 1969.
 - c. Gabridge, M.G. and Legator, M.S.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med., 130:831, 1969.
 - d. Gabridge, M.G., Oswald, E.J. and Legator, M.S.:
 Mut. Res., 7:117, 1969.
 - e. Legator, M.S. and Malling, H.V.: In, <u>Environmental</u>

 <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>, A. Hollaender (Ed.), Plenum

 Publishing Corp., New York, in press.

2. Cytogenetics

- a. Nichols, V.W.: Personal communication.
- b. Legator, M.S.: In, <u>Laboratory Diagnosis of Diseases</u>
 <u>Caused by Toxic Agents</u>, F. W. Sunderman and F. W.
 Sunderman (Ed.), Warren H. Green, Inc., St. Louis,
 pp. 17-22, 1970.
- c. Hsu, T.C. and Patton, J.L.: Technical Addendum in, <u>Comparative Mammalian Cytogenetics</u>, K. Benirschke (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 454-460, 1969.
- d. Legator, M.S. et al.: Cytogenetic studies in rats of cyclohexylamine, a metabolite of cyclamate. Science, <u>165</u>:1139, 1969.



Dominant Lethal

- a. Bateman, A.J.: Genet. Res. Comb., <u>1</u>:381, 1960.
- b. Bateman, A.J.: Nature, <u>210</u>:205, 1966.
- Ehling, U.H., Cumming, R.B. and Malling, H.V.:
 Mut. Res., <u>5</u>:417, 1968.
- d. Epstein, S.S. and Shafner, H.: Nature, <u>219</u>: 5385, 1968.

F. <u>Abbreviations</u>

- 1. mu = micron
- 2. mcg = ug = microgram
- 3. g = gram
- 4. kg = kilogram
- 5. ml = milliliter
- 6. rpm = revolutions per minute
- 7. °C = degrees centigrade
- 8. pH = power of the hydrogen ion concentration to the base 10
- 9. M = molar solution
- 10. conc. = concentration
- 11. MTD = maximum tolerated dosage = High = LD_5 if determined or else exceedingly high dose, such as 5 g/kg
- 12. INT = intermediate = medium level
- 13. USE = usage level if known = low level
- 14. BSS = balanced salt solution
- 15. C-metaphase = cells arrested in metaphase, using colchine or colcemid
- 16. LD_{50} = that dosage which produced 50% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 17. LD₅ = that dosage which produced 5% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 18. NC = negative control
- 19. PC = positive control
- 20. AU = acute usage level (low level)
- 21. AI = acute intermediate level (medium level)
- 22. AMTD = acute maximum tolerated dose level (LD_5 level, high level)



- 23. SAU = subacute usage level (low level)
- 24. SAI = subacute intermediate level (medium level)
- 25. SA LD_5 = subacute LD_5 level (MTD level, high level)
- 26. CO_2 = carbon dioxide
- 27. DMN = Dimethyl nitrosamine
- 28. EMS = Ethyl methane sulfonate
- 29. TEM = Triethylene melamine
- 30. DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide
- 31. MEM = minimal essential medium (Eagle's)
- 32. CPE = cytopathic effect
- 33. his = histidine marker
- 34. D-3 = mitotic recombinant strain of <u>Saccharomyces</u>
- 35. mf = mean mutant frequency
- 36. MFt/MFc = mean mutant frequency of the test compound group compared to mean mutant frequency of the negative control group
- 37. CFU = colony forming units
- 38. WI-38 = code name for a strain of human embryonic lung tissue culture cells
- 39. Rec x 10^5 = mitotic recombinants x 10^5
- 40. Mean B/A = mean frequency
- 41. tot. scr. = total scored
- 42. tot. = total
- 43. X^2 = a test of variation in the data from the computed regression line tested in these studies at the 5% level
- 44. Aber. = aberrations
- 45. Frag. = fragment
- 46. HMA = host-mediated assay

